

AN ANALYSIS OF CASE STUDY ON HEALTHCARE ADMINISTRATION

Mohit Rastogi*

*Assistant Professor,
Department of Finance,
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology,
Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA
Email id: mohit.management@tmu.ac.in

DOI: 10.5958/2249-877X.2021.00109.0

ABSTRACT

The mismatch between awareness and experience is a worldwide issue that leads to excessive health spending. In order for the void to be answered and the issue to be handled, there are various models and systems. The PARIHS Paradigm emphasizes the interaction of three key elements: information, meaning and facilitation, to effectively carry out practical research. It is used to assess the situation and to lead the transformation process. The goal was to depart from Iran's healthcare management system the current state of information adoption. With an objective to offer a complete systemic interview with 15 health care managers, this qualitative study used a guideline content evaluations method. Three important components of the framework have been addressed in the guiding questions: evidence, meaning and facilitation. The most significant forms of evidence used by administrators in decision-making were local knowledge and previous experience. The assessment acquired more weight compared to other sub-elements, such as society and leadership. As far as facilitation is concerned, the majority thinks that more activities should be undertaken. Findings of the author have revealed that managers in Iran's health systems decide on the basis of their own competency and other management specialists and local know-how.

KEYWORDS: *Facilitation, Healthcare System, Information, Iran, PARIHS Framework.*

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Grimshaw, M. P. Eccles, J. N. Lavis, S. J. Hill, and J. E. Squires, "Knowledge translation of research findings," *Implement. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2012, doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-50.
 2. I. Litvaj and D. Stancekova, "Decision - Making, and Their Relation to The Knowledge Management, Use of Knowledge Management in Decision - Making," *Procedia Econ. Financ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00547-x.
 3. B. Uzochukwu *et al.*, "The challenge of bridging the gap between researchers and policy makers: Experiences of a Health Policy Research Group in engaging policy makers to support evidence informed policy making in Nigeria," *Global. Health*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12992-016-0209-1.
 4. G. Harvey and A. Kitson, "PARIHS revisited: From heuristic to integrated framework for the successful implementation of knowledge into practice," *Implement. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s13012-016-0398-2.
-

5. H. F. Hsieh and S. E. Shannon, "Three approaches to qualitative content analysis," *Qual. Health Res.*, 2005, doi: 10.1177/1049732305276687.
6. I. Jansson and A. Forsberg, "How do nurses and ward managers perceive that evidence-based sources are obtained to inform relevant nursing interventions? - An exploratory study," *J. Clin. Nurs.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/jocn.13095.
7. E. Snelgrove-Clarke, B. Davies, G. Flowerdew, and D. Young, "Implementing a Fetal Health Surveillance Guideline in Clinical Practice: A Pragmatic Randomized Controlled Trial of Action Learning," *Worldviews Evidence-Based Nurs.*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/wvn.12117.
8. P. Senge, "Managing and Leading Organizations As Communities," *Conf. Pap. Present. Syst. Dyn.*, 2002.
9. J. A. E. Kirchner, L. E. Parker, L. M. Bonner, J. J. Fickel, E. M. Yano, and M. J. Ritchie, "Roles of managers, frontline staff and local champions, in implementing quality improvement: Stakeholders' perspectives," *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01518.x.
10. G. Harvey, S. Llewellyn, G. Maniatopoulos, A. Boyd, and R. Procter, "Facilitating the implementation of clinical technology in healthcare: What role does a national agency play?," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3176-9.