

**CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS FOR WASTEWATER REUSE AND
RENEWABLE-ENERGY-DRIVEN IRRIGATION IN
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO, WEST INDIES**

Michelle Shah* ; Hazi Azamathulla ; Kiran Tota-Maharaj*****

*Ph.D. Research Scholar,
Director,

Water, Energy and Environmental Engineering (WEEE) Research Cluster,
Royal Agricultural University, Cirencester, Gloucestershire GL7 6JS, United Kingdom.
Land and Water Development Division,
Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries,
Centeno, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies.
Email Id: Michelle.Shah@student.rau.ac.uk

**Professor of Civil & Environmental Engineering,
The University of the West Indies,
St. Augustine Campus, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies.
Email Id: hazi.azamathulla@sta.uwi.edu

***Professor & Chair,
Water Resources Management & Infrastructure,
Royal Agricultural University,
Cirencester, Gloucestershire GL7 6JS, United Kingdom.
Email Id: Kiran.Tota-Maharaj@rau.ac.uk

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2026.00024.6

ABSTRACT

*Constructed Wetlands are engineered systems designed to imitate natural wetlands for wastewater treatment. They utilise soil, plants and microbes to filter out pollutants and use macrophytes to absorb nutrients. This study adopts a mixed-methods research design to evaluate pollutant removal efficiencies and wastewater reuse potential using integrated nature-based solutions (NbS) and renewable energy solutions. Removal of key water quality parameters (BOD_5 , COD, TSS, NH_4-N , PO_4^{3-} and E. coli) was assessed by varying geotextile membrane types and biofilter media in experimental treatment rigs. Treatment performance was compared for reclaimed water (RW), conventional irrigation water (CW), and secondary effluent (SW) using a Wastewater Reuse (WWR) prototype. Horizontal flow constructed wetlands planted with *Phragmites australis* was designed with a cross-sectional area of 3.75 m^2 , hydraulic loading rate of $0.8\text{ m}^3\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ day}^{-1}$, and flow rate of $3\text{ m}^3\text{ day}^{-1}$, operating at retention times of 24–120 h. Field trials achieved average removal efficiencies of 75.99% (BOD), 76.16% (COD), 57.34% (TDS), 62.08% (nitrate), 58.03% (phosphate), and 57.83% (potassium). The study further evaluated a solar-powered automated drip irrigation system to quantify water savings, energy consumption, and crop yield outcomes. Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, and Willingness to Pay were assessed to inform national wastewater reuse standards, supporting sustainable Water-Energy-Food-Ecosystems nexus.*

KEYWORDS: *Wastewater Reuse; Constructed Wetlands; Geotextiles; Solar-Powered Irrigation; Water Quality; WEFE Nexus.*

REFERENCES

1. **Abou-Elela, S. I., Golinelli, G., El-Tabl, A. S., & Hellal, M. S. (2014).** Treatment of municipal wastewater using horizontal flow constructed wetlands in Egypt. *Water Science and Technology*, 69(1), 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2013.530>
2. **Akram, M.T., Rahman, S., Al-Busaidi, W. and Khan, I. (2022)** Towards sustainable application of wastewater in agriculture: a review on reusability and risk assessment. *Agronomy*, 12(7), 1672. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12071672>
3. **Bano, S., Tahira, S.A., Naqvi, S.N.H., Tahseen, R., Shabir, G., Iqbal, S., Afzal, M., Amin, M., Boopathy, R. and Mehmood, M.A. (2023)** Improved remediation of amoxicillin-contaminated water by floating treatment wetlands intensified with biochar, nutrients, aeration, and antibiotic-degrading bacteria. *Bioengineered*, 14(1), pp. 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2023.2252207>
4. Bellahsen, N., Kertész, S., Pásztor, Z., & Hodúr, C. (2018). Adsorption of nutrients using low-cost adsorbents from agricultural waste and by-products – Review. *Progress in Agricultural Engineering Sciences*, 14(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1556/446.14.2018.1.1>
5. **Calheiros, C.S.C., Rangel, A.O.S.S. and Castro, P.M.L. (2008)** Evaluation of different substrates to support the growth of *Typha latifolia* in constructed wetlands treating tannery wastewater over long-term operation. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(15), pp. 6866–6877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.12.043>
6. **Calheiros, S.C., Rangel, A.O.S.S. and Castro, P.M.L. (2007)** Constructed wetland systems vegetated with different plants for the treatment of tannery wastewater. *Water Research*, 41(8), pp. 1790–1798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.01.012>
7. **Çeçen, F. and Aktaş, Ö. (2011)** Evaluation of biological activated carbon (BAC) process in wastewater treatment secondary effluent for reclamation purposes. *Desalination*, 265(1–3), pp. 266–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.07.060>
8. **Chand, N., Suthar, S., Kumar, K. and Singh, V. (2022)** Removal of pharmaceuticals by vertical-flow constructed wetlands with different configurations: effect of inlet load and biochar addition in the substrate. *Chemosphere*, 307, 135975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135975>
9. **Chand, N., Suthar, S., Kumar, K. and Tyagi, V.K. (2021)** Enhanced removal of nutrients and coliforms from domestic wastewater in cattle-dung biochar-packed *Colocasia esculenta*-based vertical subsurface-flow constructed wetland. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 41, 101994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.101994>
10. **Drechsel, P., Qadir, M. and Wichelns, D. (eds.) (2015)** *Wastewater: economic asset in an urbanizing world*. Dordrecht: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9545-6>
11. **El Barkaoui, S., Mandi, L., Aziz, F., Del Bubba, M. and Ouazzani, N. (2023)** A critical review on using biochar as a constructed wetland substrate: characteristics, feedstock, design

-
- and pollutant removal mechanisms. *Ecological Engineering*, 190, 106927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2023.106927>
12. Jaramillo, M.F. and Restrepo, I. (2017) Wastewater reuse in agriculture: a review of limitations and benefits. *Sustainability*, 9(10), 1734. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9101734>
 13. Jiménez, B. and Asano, T. (eds.) (2008) *Water reuse: an international survey of current practice, issues and needs*. London: IWA Publishing.
 14. Karki, B. K., & Philip, L. (2026). Evaluating the efficacy of biochar columns for two pharmaceuticals, methyl paraben and nutrient removal in constructed floating wetlands. *Science of the Total Environment*, 1020, 181573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2026.181573>
 15. Karpagam, M., and S. Sivasubramanian (2015). “Domestic wastewater treatment performance using constructed wetland.” *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, Vol. 1, 2015, pp. 89–96. Springer.
 16. Keizer-Vlek, H.E., Verdonschot, P.F.M., Verdonschot, R.C.M. and Dekkers, D. (2014) The contribution of plant uptake to nutrient removal by floating treatment wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 73, pp. 684–690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.09.081>
 17. Lazarova, V., Asano, T., Bahri, A. and Anderson, J. (eds.) (2013) *Milestones in water reuse: the best success stories*. London: IWA Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780400716>
 18. Li, J. and Wen, J. (2016) Effects of water management on transport of *Escherichia coli* in the soil-plant system for drip irrigation using secondary sewage effluent. *Agricultural Water Management*, 178, pp. 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.08.036>
 19. Lyu, S., Chen, W., Zhang, W., Fan, Y. and Jiao, W. (2016) Wastewater reclamation and reuse in China: opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 39, pp. 86–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2015.11.012>
 20. Ministry of Agriculture, Land and Marine Resources (MALMR) (2007) *Projected agricultural water requirement to the year 2025*. Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago: Government of Trinidad and Tobago.
 21. Narayanasamydamodaran, S., Kumar, N. and Zuo, J. (2024) The role of plant uptake in total phosphorus and total nitrogen removal in vegetated bioretention cells using vetiver and cattail. *Chemosphere*, 364, 143276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.143276>
 22. Pavlineri, N., Skoulikidis, N.T. and Tsihrintzis, V.A. (2017) Constructed floating wetlands: research, design, operation and management aspects and data meta-analysis. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 308, pp. 1120–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.09.140>
 23. Pereira, L.S. (2017) Water, agriculture and food: challenges and issues. *Water Resources Management*, 31, pp. 2985–2999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-017-1664-3>
 24. Peters-Everson, J. and Joseph, V. (2015) Evaluation of compliance with the Water Pollution Control Rules in Port of Spain, Trinidad. *West Indian Journal of Engineering*, 38(1), pp. 21–32.
-

25. Pond, K., Charles, K., & Pedley, S. (2007). Review of the use of Irrigation Water in UK agriculture and the potential risks to food safety (Report B17005). London: Food Standards Agency.
26. Qi, Y., Zhong, Y., Luo, L., He, J., Feng, B., Wei, Q., Zhang, K. and Ren, H. (2024) Subsurface constructed wetlands amended with modified biochar for advanced tailwater treatment: performance and microbial communities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 906, 167533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167533>
27. Rawlins, B.G., Ferguson, A.J., Chilton, P.J., Arthurton, R.S., Searle, D.E. and Milodowski, A.E. (1998) Review of agricultural pollution in the Caribbean with particular emphasis on small island developing states (SIDS). *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 36(9), pp. 658–668. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(98\)00053-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(98)00053-2)
28. Roopnarine, R., Baird, K., Hosein, M., Jackson, R., Salim, S., Cephas, A., Gangapersad, S., Govia, S.-J. and Cashman, A. (2023) Integrating wastewater reuse into water management schemes of Caribbean SIDS: a Trinidad and Tobago case study. *Water Policy*, 25(12), p. 174. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2023.174>
29. Scholz, M. (2013) Water quality improvement performance of geotextiles within permeable pavement systems: a critical review. *Water*, 5(2), pp. 462–479. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w5020462>
30. Shahid, M.J., Arslan, M., Siddique, M., Ali, S., Tahseen, R. and Afzal, M. (2019) Potential of floating wetlands for treatment of polluted river water. *Ecological Engineering*, 133, pp. 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.04.022>
31. Sharma, R., Vymazal, J. and Malaviya, P. (2021) Application of floating treatment wetlands for stormwater runoff: a critical review with emphasis on heavy metals and nutrient removal. *Science of the Total Environment*, 777, 146044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146044>
32. Sheoran, A.S. (2006) Laboratory treatment of acid mine water using wetlands with emergent macrophytes (*Typha angustata*). *International Journal of Mining, Reclamation and Environment*, 20(3), pp. 209–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17480930600982753>
33. Solano, M.L., Soriano, P. and Ciria, M.P. (2004) Constructed wetlands as a sustainable solution for wastewater treatment in small villages. *Biosystems Engineering*, 87(1), pp. 109–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2003.10.005>
34. Spangler, J.T., Sample, D.J., Fox, L.J., Albano, J.P. and White, S.A. (2019a) Assessing nitrogen and phosphorus removal potential of five plant species in floating treatment wetlands receiving simulated nursery runoff. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, pp. 5751–5768. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3964-0>
35. Spangler, J.T., Sample, D.J., Fox, L.J., Owen, J.S. and White, S.A. (2019b) Floating treatment wetlands for nutrient removal from agricultural runoff using two wetland species. *Ecological Engineering*, 127, pp. 468–479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.12.017>
36. Surujdeo-Maharaj, S., Alkins-Koo, M., Rostant, W., Maharaj, L., Lucas, F. and Yen, I.C. (2004) Metal pollution considerations in integrated water resource management for

Trinidad and Tobago. In: *Proceedings of the Caribbean Environmental Health Institute (CEHI) Conference*.

37. WHO, UNEP and FAO (2006) *Guidelines for the safe use of wastewater, excreta and greywater*. 3rd edn, Volume II: Wastewater use in agriculture. Geneva: World Health Organization.
38. Zhang, D.Q., Hua, T., Gersberg, R.M., Zhu, J., Ng, W.J. and Tan, S.K. (2013) Carbamazepine and naproxen fate in wetland mesocosms planted with *Scirpus validus*. *Chemosphere*, 91(1), pp. 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.11.018>
39. Zhang, W., Guan, A., Peng, Q., Qi, W. and Qu, J. (2023) Microbe-mediated simultaneous nitrogen reduction and sulfamethoxazole removal in lab-scale constructed wetlands. *Water Research*, 242, 120233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2023.120233>
40. Zhang, H., Huang, H., Chen, W., Zhang, Z., Li, H. and Li, J. (2024a) Mechanistic insights into efficient PPCP removal using waste biological activated carbon for low-carbon reutilization. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 487, 150759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.150759>
41. Zhang, S., Cui, L., Zhao, Y., Xie, H., Song, M., Wu, H., Hu, Z., Liang, S. and Zhang, J. (2024b) Role of microplastics in sulfamethoxazole fate and antibiotic resistance gene transformation in vertical subsurface-flow constructed wetlands. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 465, 133222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.133222>
42. Zhuang, L.L., Li, M., Li, Y., Zhang, L., Xu, X., Wu, H., Liang, S., Su, C. and Zhang, J. (2022) Performance and mechanisms of biochar-enhanced constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 45, 102522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102522>