

CHANAKYA AND THE MODERN STATECRAFT: ANCIENT INSIGHTS FOR CONTEMPORARY FISCAL CHALLENGES

Dr. Surender Singh Yadav*; Dr. Laxmi Narayan**

*Assistant Professor,
Department of Economics,
Govt. College Kanina, INDIA
Email Id: surender14371@gmail.com

**Professor,
Department of Economics,
Govt. College Mahendergarh, INDIA
Email Id: proflnyadav@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2025.00051.9

ABSTRACT

This paper examines Chanakya's ideas on governance and taxation from the Arthashastra and their relevance to modern fiscal systems. Chanakya viewed taxation not just as a tool for revenue, but as a moral duty rooted in justice, equity, and public welfare. His tax system included context-based levies, accountability, and relief during crises—principles that align with modern public finance ideas like fairness, efficiency, and transparency. The study also compares his ideas with current practices such as GST and income-based taxation. While acknowledging the limitations of applying ancient ideas directly to today's democratic and complex economies, the paper concludes that Chanakya's philosophy still offers valuable lessons for ethical and people-centered fiscal governance.

KEYWORDS: Chanakya, Arthashastra, Public Finance, Fiscal Governance, Ancient Economic Thought, Tax Policy

1. INTRODUCTION

Taxation is a central pillar of statecraft and governance. From ancient civilizations to the present-day welfare states, taxation has functioned as both a tool for resource mobilization. The evolution of taxation systems has long been a subject of philosophical, economic, and administrative deliberation. While modern taxation theory is commonly traced back to classical economists like Adam Smith, who is widely recognized as the father of modern economics due to his seminal work "*An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*" (1776), the intellectual roots of taxation go much deeper. Long before Smith, profound economic and administrative ideas were laid down by ancient Indian philosopher Chanakya, also known as Kautilya, through his magnum opus Arthashastra, written around 300 BCE. This ancient Indian treatise on statecraft, economic policy, and military strategy offers a deeply sophisticated and ethically nuanced philosophy of governance, with taxation forming a critical component. His *Arthashastra* comprises 15 books, each detailing aspects of administration, economic regulation, diplomacy, ethics, and taxation. The work, translated by scholars like Shastri (1915), Kangle

(1960s), and Rangarajan (1992), remains a rich source of economic philosophy that integrates moral, political, and financial governance.

Chanakya's philosophy on taxation, as articulated in the *Arthashastra*, emphasizes fairness, ethical governance, moderation, and state accountability. He envisioned the king (state) as a servant of the people, advocating for a tax system that was efficient yet just, revenue-generating yet non-exploitative. Unlike many ancient systems characterized by arbitrariness or coercion, Chanakya's model underscored the importance of predictability, equity, and welfare — tenets that closely align with modern principles of public finance.

In the contemporary era, taxation is governed by structured legal frameworks and economic theories such as those proposed by Adam Smith, Musgrave, and others. Modern tax systems prioritize equity (horizontal and vertical), efficiency, certainty, and administrative convenience. However, these principles are not novel. They echo, in many respects, the ethical and practical concerns that Chanakya addressed over two millennia ago. His philosophical orientation, combining pragmatism with a deep concern for *dharma* (ethical duty), has remarkable relevance in today's discussions on tax justice, fiscal responsibility, and citizen trust.

As nations today grapple with tax evasion, inequitable burdens, and declining public trust, revisiting Chanakya's insights can offer timeless wisdom. His call for moderate taxation, ethical conduct by revenue officers, and the prioritization of public welfare over royal luxury remain profoundly relevant. By exploring these principles in relation to modern taxation theories and practices, this paper seeks to contribute a historically grounded yet forward-looking perspective to the evolving discourse on taxation and public finance.

This paper investigates the philosophical relevance of Chanakya's thoughts on taxation within the framework of modern taxation systems. It seeks to identify key philosophical elements from the *Arthashastra*, analyze their parallels in contemporary fiscal theory, and evaluate their applicability to modern tax policy. In doing so, this study aims to highlight the enduring value of ancient Indian wisdom in informing equitable and effective governance today. It will juxtapose his principles with modern economic thought and evaluate how ancient wisdom can enrich contemporary fiscal policy.

2. Chanakya's Philosophical Foundations and Ethics of Governance

Chanakya's philosophical vision of governance was deeply rooted in Dharma (righteousness), Artha (wealth), Kama (desire), and Moksha (liberation)—the fourfold goals of life according to ancient Indian tradition. Among these, *Artha* was considered the foundation upon which the other three rested. Chanakya's *Arthashastra* articulates that a king's primary duty is to ensure the prosperity and stability of the state, which is only achievable through ethical governance and efficient economic administration (Kangle, 1965).

His philosophy drew upon **utilitarian and realist traditions**, combining idealism with pragmatism. He emphasized that the ruler must prioritize *lokasangraha* (welfare of the people), not merely the accumulation of wealth (Rangarajan, 1992). This aligns closely with the modern social contract theory, wherein the legitimacy of taxation and governance arises from their service to public welfare (Smith, 2007).

Chanakya advocated for a **ruler who was a servant-leader**, one who wielded authority for the people's good rather than for personal gain. He emphasized self-discipline, detachment, and ethical conduct in statecraft. His famous maxim, "*In the happiness of the subjects lies the*

happiness of the king”, underscores a human-centric governance model that parallels modern public finance principles like **equity, accountability, and transparency** (Boesche, 2003).

Further, Chanakya’s ethical realism justified espionage and diplomacy if it safeguarded the kingdom, but simultaneously condemned tyranny, corruption, and fiscal irresponsibility. He believed that rulers must lead by example and protect the treasury as a moral and sacred responsibility (Shastri, 1915). These philosophical foundations reflect a proto-public-choice theory, where individual incentives and institutional design must align for good governance.

His governance model integrates **ethics with administrative efficiency**, offering insights into sustainable and morally grounded tax systems. Modern taxation, when viewed through Chanakya’s lens, must serve social justice, resource redistribution, and economic growth without undermining public trust or administrative morality (Sihag, 2014).

3. Kautilyan Taxation Principles in Arthashastra

Chanakya’s *Arthashastra* offers a timeless and ethical blueprint for fiscal governance. His vision of taxation—as a balanced, fair, and strategic institution—remains relevant in contemporary debates over fiscal justice, state legitimacy, and economic development. By integrating dharma (duty) and artha (wealth), Chanakya placed public welfare at the center of fiscal policy, offering valuable lessons for modern states striving for equity, efficiency, and accountability. Chanakya’s *Arthashastra* presents taxation as both a fiscal and ethical instrument of governance. He proposed a well-defined revenue structure encompassing various forms of taxes, including:

- Customs duty (*shulka*) consisting of import duty (*pravesya*), export duty (*nishkramya*), octroi, and other gate tolls (*dwarabahirikadeya*)
- Transaction tax (*vyaji*) including *manavyaji* (transaction tax for Crown goods)
- Share of production (*bhaga*)
- Tax in cash as a tribute from conquered territories (*kara*)
- Taxes in kind (*pratikara*), Labour (*vishti*) and Supply of soldiers (*ayudhiya*)
- Countervailing duties or taxes (*vaidharana*)
- Road cess (*vartani*)
- Monopoly tax (*parigha*)
- Royalty (*prakriya*)
- Taxes paid in kind by villages (*pindakara*)
- Army maintenance tax (*senabhaktham*)
- Surcharges (*parsvam*)
- Agricultural tax (*Bali*)
- Commercial levies (*Vanikpatha*)
- Emergency taxation (*Pranaya*)

These taxes were levied in proportion to the productivity of economic units and were subject to audit and oversight (Kumar, 2019). The idea was to fund public goods and security while avoiding economic exploitation. Chanakya's tax system was marked by three key features:

- **Elasticity and Flexibility:** Taxes were adjusted based on economic circumstances, such as crop failures or wartime exigencies. Relief and exemptions were provided in times of distress (Rangarajan, 1992).
- **Equity and Justice:** The principle of *samya* (equality) guided the tax structure. He recognized the economic capacity of different groups and ensured that taxation did not unduly burden the poor (Mukherjee, 2020).
- **Accountability:** Chanakya insisted on record-keeping, audits, and rotation of officials to avoid corruption and embezzlement (Kangle, 1965).

In modern parlance, these align closely with the principles of horizontal equity, vertical equity, and accountability in public finance. Chanakya's *Arthashastra* outlines a remarkably sophisticated system of taxation that mirrors many tenets of modern public finance. Taxation, for Chanakya, was not merely a means of revenue generation but a tool for ensuring economic balance, state stability, and public welfare. His principles on taxation were embedded within the broader context of ethics, justice, and pragmatism. At the core of Kautilya's philosophy was the concept of dharma (duty) and artha (wealth). The king, acting as the custodian of the state, was expected to collect taxes ethically, ensuring the burden on subjects remained just and sustainable. He advised that taxes should be **"like a bee that sucks honey from the flower without hurting it"** (*Arthashastra*, Book II, Chapter 6; Kangle, 1965), reflecting an early awareness of the Laffer Curve-like effect—over-taxation reduces the ability and willingness to pay (Singh & Thomas, 2022).

Kautilya recognized the **elasticity of taxation** and recommended a **flexible tax system** tailored to the income levels and occupational conditions of different groups. Farmers, artisans, traders, and merchants were taxed differently, based on their capacity to pay (Rangarajan, 1992). He distinguished between direct and indirect taxes, such as land tax (*bhaga*), trade duties (*sulka*), and tolls (*vartanika*), suggesting a nuanced understanding of public finance mechanisms (Boesche, 2003). Moreover, *Arthashastra* emphasizes transparency and accountability in tax administration. Tax collectors were strictly supervised, and corruption was met with severe punishment (Kangle, 1965). This aligns with modern principles of tax governance, which stress compliance, equity, and deterrence of evasion. Chanakya also proposed **seasonal and situational tax reliefs**. For instance, during natural calamities or wars, tax burdens were reduced or suspended to protect the livelihoods of citizens—a principle echoed in today's fiscal stimulus and relief packages (Sihag, 2014). The integration of **economic rationality with ethical governance** in Kautilya's tax theory makes it not only historically significant but also **philosophically relevant to modern fiscal policy design**. His ideas resonate with contemporary calls for equitable, efficient, and transparent taxation systems.

4. Comparison with Modern Taxation Principles

The taxation system articulated by Chanakya in the *Arthashastra* demonstrates a striking resemblance to several principles that form the foundation of modern taxation. Although formulated over two millennia ago, Kautilya's ideas align with contemporary notions such as

equity, efficiency, certainty, and administrative feasibility—principles later formalized by Adam Smith in *The Wealth of Nations* (Smith, 2007).

TABLE 1: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CHANAKYA’S TAXATION DICTUMS AND MODERN PUBLIC FINANCE PRINCIPLES

Principal	Chanakya’s Principle	Explanation with Source	Modern Equivalent / Relevance
Equity and Justice	Tax equity based on ability to pay	<i>“A king shall levy taxes in proportion to the income of his subjects, just as the sun draws moisture from the earth”</i> (Arthashastra, Book V, Ch. 2). Taxes varied across agriculture, trade, mining, and professions based on capacity (Rangarajan, 1992).	Reflects horizontal equity and vertical equity — key concepts in public finance (Musgrave & Musgrave, 1989).
Efficiency and Minimal Burden	Avoid Over-burdening taxpayers	<i>“The king shall collect taxes like a bee that sucks honey without harming the flower”</i> (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 6). Chanakya promoted minimal interference with economic activity (Sihag, 2014).	Modern public finance emphasizes non-distortionary taxation and the Laffer Curve warns against excessive taxation (Laffer, 2004).
Certainty and Simplicity	Codified and predictable tax rules	<i>“The rules regarding tax collection shall be written and known to all”</i> (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 7). Chanakya emphasized transparency and predictability (Kangle, 1965).	Aligns with Adam Smith’s canon of certainty — tax liabilities must be clear to every taxpayer (Smith, 2007).
Administrative Efficiency and Accountability	Oversight of tax officials	<i>“The collector shall keep daily records... any deviation shall be punished”</i> (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 8). Chanakya supported audits and strict action against corrupt officials (Rangarajan, 1992).	Mirrors modern tax administration systems, emphasizing audit trails, transparency, and anti-corruption mechanisms (Bird, 2003).
Responsive Taxation and Social Welfare	Tax relief during distress	<i>“In times of calamity... the king shall remit taxes to relieve the burden on the people”</i> (Arthashastra, Book IV, Ch. 13). Flexible taxation in response to natural or economic crises (Boesche, 2003).	Parallel to modern fiscal policies like automatic stabilizers, tax relief packages, and stimulus measures (Stiglitz, 2015).

Source: Compiled by authors from the sources mentioned in the table

5. Lessons for Contemporary Fiscal Policy

Chanakya’s *Arthashastra* offers enduring fiscal insights that resonate with today’s challenges of governance, economic inequality, and efficient taxation. His emphasis on ethical taxation, citizen welfare, and accountable administration provides valuable guidance for modern fiscal policymakers, especially in developing economies like India.

TABLE 2: LESSONS FROM CHANAKYA'S ARTHASHASTRA FOR CONTEMPORARY FISCAL POLICY AND GOVERNANCE

Chanakya's Principle	Explanation with Source	Modern Equivalent / Relevance (with References)
Fiscal discipline and surplus creation	Chanakya insisted that ' <i>expenditure should follow revenue, not precede it</i> ' and emphasized saving surplus in prosperous times (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 15; Kangle, 1965).	Parallels modern counter-cyclical fiscal policy — saving during booms, spending during downturns (Musgrave & Musgrave, 1989; Stiglitz, 2015).
Fair taxation and state legitimacy	Kautilya asserted that taxation was legitimate only if the state reciprocated with protection and welfare, framing it as a social contract (Arthashastra, Book I, Ch. 13; Rangarajan, 1992).	Echoes the democratic principle of taxpayer accountability — legitimacy through transparency and services (Bird, 2003).
Temporary tax relief during crises	Chanakya wrote that ' <i>in times of famine or disaster, taxes shall be reduced or suspended to ease the people's burden</i> ' (Arthashastra, Book IV, Ch. 13; Boesche, 2003).	Comparable to modern progressive tax relief and fiscal stimulus, e.g., during COVID-19 (Stiglitz, 2020; IMF, 2021).
Strict oversight to curb corruption	Kautilya mandated strict audits and penalties: ' <i>A corrupt official shall be punished like a serpent hiding in the anthill</i> ' (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 9; Sihag, 2014).	Aligns with digital audits, whistleblower protections, and anti-corruption tools in public finance (OECD, 2020).
Region-specific taxation policies	Chanakya recommended ' <i>differentiated taxation based on local conditions like soil fertility and climate</i> ' (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 24; Rangarajan, 1992).	Reflected in fiscal federalism and localized taxation in modern governance (Bird & Vaillancourt, 2008).
Strategic use of national resources	Kautilya emphasized that ' <i>the king shall operate key industries and monopolies for state prosperity</i> ' (Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 15; Kangle, 1965).	Resonates with modern developmental state strategies, public sector enterprises, and industrial policy (Chang, 2003).

Source: Compiled by authors from the sources mentioned in the table

6. Relevance of Chanakya's Principles in Contemporary Indian Tax System

Chanakya's taxation philosophy, as outlined in the *Arthashastra*, revolves around the ideas of justice, proportionality, and state responsibility. His emphasis on dharma (righteousness) as a guiding principle in taxation aligns with modern ideals of equity and efficiency in tax systems. The Goods and Services Tax (GST) reform in India, which aims to create a unified and fair tax regime, reflects Chanakyan ideas of simplification and standardization of taxation for greater economic cohesion (Kumar, 2019).

Chanakya advocated for a tax system that is neither burdensome nor erratic. He emphasized that taxes should be collected like a bee collects honey—gently and without destroying the flower (*Arthashastra*, Book 2, Chapter 6). This mirrors the modern progressive taxation framework and income-slab-based personal taxation adopted in India under the Income Tax Act, 1961, ensuring the tax burden falls more heavily on those with higher capacity to pay (Sharma & Rao, 2021).

Chanakya's attention to agricultural income and land-based taxation has contemporary relevance in discussions surrounding rationalization of agricultural subsidies and the inclusion of large farm incomes in the tax net. The recent Direct Tax Code (DTC) discussions and simplification efforts reflect similar goals of rational and inclusive tax policy, resonating with the clarity and purpose-driven taxation found in the *Arthashastra* (Mukherjee, 2020).

Moreover, GST's compliance-focused mechanism and technology-based administration parallel Chanakya's emphasis on record-keeping, surveillance, and state control to prevent revenue leakage—showing that technological evolution has only refined, not replaced, ancient administrative logic.

7. Critical Reflections and Contemporary Challenges in Applying Chanakya's Fiscal Philosophy

The *Arthashastra*, authored by Chanakya (Kautilya), remains a cornerstone of ancient Indian political and economic thought. It presents taxation not merely as a tool for revenue generation but as a moral and strategic instrument to sustain statecraft. However, the direct application of these ancient principles to modern fiscal systems is fraught with conceptual, ethical, and structural challenges. While the text offers valuable normative insights, its translation into contemporary governance requires careful reinterpretation.

7.1. From Monarchical Control to Democratic Accountability

Chanakya's model was rooted in an autocratic political structure where the king was the supreme authority:

"The king is the embodiment of law; he is the source and protector of all laws"

(*Arthashastra*, Book I, Ch. 4; Rangarajan, 1992).

Such centralized control enabled swift decision-making, particularly in matters of revenue. In contrast, modern democracies function through **constitutional separation of powers**, federal institutions, and participatory governance (Boesche, 2003). Thus, Chanakya's top-down approach faces limitations in decentralized systems where tax policymaking is negotiated across multiple layers of authority.

7.2. Social Inclusivity and Equity Blind Spots

Although Chanakya emphasized administrative efficiency and public welfare, his framework did not systematically address social equity. The *Arthashastra* often aligned state policy with the interests of the ruling class and economic elites. There is minimal mention of gender equality or caste-based inclusion, which are **core pillars of modern inclusive fiscal policies** (Sihag, 2014). Applying *Arthashastra* uncritically may risk reinforcing traditional hierarchies and socio-political exclusions.

7.3. Neglect of Environmental Ethics

The *Arthashastra* promotes wealth accumulation and resource extraction in service of state strength:

"The king shall exploit mines, forests, pastures, and water bodies for revenue"

(*Arthashastra*, Book II, Ch. 15; Kangle, 1965).

While efficient in its time, this anthropocentric and utilitarian approach lacks environmental foresight. Today, climate change and ecological degradation demand fiscal frameworks that incorporate sustainability and green taxation—dimensions absent from Chanakya's worldview (Stiglitz, 2020).

7.4. Technological and Economic Evolution

Chanakya's taxation model was crafted for a primarily agrarian society. He imposed levies such as *bhaga* (share of produce), *shulka* (customs duties), and *vartani* (road cess), appropriate for an economy centered around land and trade. However, modern economies are increasingly digital, globalized, and driven by intangible assets. Complex issues like transfer pricing, cryptocurrency regulation, and capital mobility fall **outside the scope of ancient fiscal logic** (Gupta, 2021). Hence, while the principles of fairness and accountability endure, the tools require modern adaptation.

7.5. Surveillance, Coercion, and Ethical Governance

Chanakya endorsed rigorous internal monitoring to maintain administrative integrity:

"A corrupt official is like a hidden thorn... he must be uprooted with punishment"

(Arthashastra, Book II, Ch. 9; Rangarajan, 1992).

"Spies shall be employed to observe the conduct of officials and the people"

(Arthashastra, Book I, Ch. 12).

While these strategies ensured discipline, they also relied heavily on covert surveillance and authoritarian enforcement. In contrast, modern governance emphasizes transparency, citizen rights, and due process over punitive and secretive mechanisms (Sen, 1999).

7.6. Static Economic Foundations and Limited Global Scope

The *Arthashastra* reflects a relatively static, internally focused economy. It does not account for technological disruption, international trade treaties, or financial derivatives—hallmarks of the 21st-century global economy (Boesche, 2003). While Chanakya distinguished between direct and indirect taxes, such as *vyaji* (transaction tax) and *kara* (tribute), the text lacks frameworks for managing **volatile capital markets or supranational tax coordination**.

7.7. Moral Instrumentalism and Normative Gaps

Chanakya's ethical outlook was largely utilitarian, aiming at the strength and stability of the state rather than universal moral ideals:

"Whatever pleases the king and strengthens the state is to be treated as right"

(Arthashastra, Book I, Ch. 7; Parashar, 2015).

This instrumental use of ethics, though effective for governance, may conflict with modern deontological frameworks that emphasize human rights, moral universality, and participatory justice.

Despite these challenges, Chanakya's fiscal philosophy offers valuable normative guidance. His emphasis on **tax fairness, administrative accountability, public welfare, and ethical governance** remains relevant in the design of modern taxation systems. Rather than serving as a literal model, the *Arthashastra* should be interpreted as a **philosophical framework**—providing

timeless insights into the **moral and strategic underpinnings** of public finance in complex societies.

8. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

Chanakya's *Arthashastra*, written over two millennia ago, is a testament to ancient India's sophisticated understanding of statecraft, economics, and taxation. As a moral philosopher and economic strategist, Chanakya conceptualized a system where the **state's financial stability, administrative efficiency, and ethical governance** were interwoven into a cohesive framework (Rangarajan, 1992; Boesche, 2003). His vision of taxation as a **means to strengthen the state and support public welfare** still holds philosophical and fiscal relevance in the modern era (Sihag, 2014).

The present analysis has revealed that several of Chanakya's taxation principles—such as gradualism in tax burden, equitable contribution, strategic use of fiscal incentives, and corruption deterrence mechanisms—are echoed in modern economic frameworks, including progressive taxation, GST regimes, and compliance-driven fiscal policies (Stiglitz, 2020; Bird & Zolt, 2005). However, critical reflections also reveal significant limitations. His framework was designed for an autocratic monarchy with minimal attention to individual freedoms, participatory governance, environmental sustainability, and social justice (Sen, 1999; Parashar, 2015).

Nonetheless, if interpreted through a philosophical and reformist lens, Chanakya's economic thinking offers **timeless principles** adaptable to modern fiscal institutions and ethical governance.

Policy Recommendations

Drawing from Chanakya's fiscal philosophy, several key lessons can inform modern taxation policy. First, taxation should be understood as an **ethical duty of the state**, not merely a tool for economic efficiency. Chanakya envisioned taxation as a moral responsibility of the ruler—one that must uphold equity, fairness, and public welfare. Second, his emphasis on **context-sensitive levies** offers a compelling case for progressive and regionally responsive taxation. Just as he differentiated taxes based on occupation, land productivity, and local needs, contemporary systems should balance direct and indirect taxes in line with income distribution, consumption behavior, and regional disparities (Bird & Zolt, 2005).

Third, Chanakya's robust surveillance mechanisms underscore the need to **embed anti-corruption frameworks within fiscal administration**. While the methods today must differ, his intent can be realized through digitized transparency, independent audits, public oversight, and ethical incentives within revenue departments. Fourth, his philosophy of *Dandaniti*—just enforcement—can be reinterpreted to ensure **economic justice** in policy design, safeguarding taxpayer rights, minimizing arbitrariness, and promoting compassionate compliance.

Fifth, the integration of classical texts like the *Arthashastra* into **public policy and economic education** can enrich students' understanding of indigenous contributions to fiscal thought and governance. Finally, while ancient wisdom provides philosophical inspiration, its application must be filtered through **critical reflection**. It is important to adapt rather than adopt these doctrines wholesale, ensuring that reinterpretation aligns with the values of modern democracy, inclusivity, and environmental sustainability.

REFERENCES

1. Bird, R. M. (2003). Administrative dimensions of tax reform. *Asia-Pacific Tax Bulletin*, 9(6), 5–23.
2. Bird, R. M., & Vaillancourt, F. (2008). *Fiscal decentralization in developing countries: A review of current concepts and practice*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Bird, R. M., & Zolt, E. M. (2005). Redistribution via taxation: The limited role of the personal income tax in developing countries. *UCLA Law Review*, 52(6), 1627–1695.
4. Boesche, R. (2003). *The first great political realist: Kautilya and his Arthashastra*. Lexington Books.
5. Chang, H. J. (2003). *Kicking away the ladder: Development strategy in historical perspective*. Anthem Press.
6. Gupta, A. (2021). India's digital economy and the challenge of taxing virtual assets. *Indian Journal of Public Policy*, 12(3), 122–138.
7. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2021). *Fiscal monitor: A fair shot*. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/FM>
8. Kangle, R. P. (1965). *The Kautiliya Arthashastra: Part II – An English translation with critical and explanatory notes*. University of Bombay.
9. Kumar, S. (2019). Chanakya's economic thought and India's GST reform: A philosophical alignment. *Journal of Indian Economic Philosophy*, 8(2), 77–89.
10. Laffer, A. B. (2004). The Laffer Curve: Past, present, and future. *Heritage Foundation Backgrounder*, No. 1765.
11. Mukherjee, A. (2020). Rethinking direct tax reforms in India: Philosophical and policy perspectives. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55(9), 38–44.
12. Musgrave, R. A., & Musgrave, P. B. (1989). *Public finance in theory and practice* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
13. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *Tax administration 2020: Comparative information on OECD and other advanced and emerging economies*. OECD Publishing.
14. Parashar, A. (2015). Ethics and statecraft: Revisiting Kautilya's Arthashastra. *Indian Journal of Political Science*, 76(1), 17–29.
15. Rangarajan, L. N. (1992). *Kautilya: The Arthashastra*. Penguin Books India.
16. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
17. Sharma, R., & Rao, M. G. (2021). Progressive taxation and economic justice in India: Lessons from historical traditions. *Indian Journal of Tax Studies*, 15(1), 55–70.
18. Shastri, R. S. (1915). *Arthashastra of Kautilya*. Mysore Government Press.
19. Sihag, B. S. (2014). Kautilya on public goods and taxation. *History of Political Economy*, 46(3), 409–431. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00182702-2796187>

20. Singh, V., & Thomas, R. (2022). Administrative ethics and corruption in India: Reimagining ancient frameworks in contemporary governance. *Journal of Policy and Governance*, 11(4), 101–119.
21. Smith, A. (2007). *An inquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations* (Original work published 1776). MetaLibri Digital Edition.
22. Stiglitz, J. E. (2015). *The great divide: Unequal societies and what we can do about them*. W. W. Norton & Company.
23. Stiglitz, J. E. (2020). *People, power, and profits: Progressive capitalism for an age of discontent*. W. W. Norton & Company.