ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal
ISSN: 2249-7137 Vol. 13, Issue 11, November 2023 SJIF 2022 = 8.252
A peer reviewed journal

CONTRIBUTION OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN AGRICULTURAL ADMINISTRATION

Dr. Harmilap Singh*

B-4/785, Krishna Colony, Malerkotla,
Punjab, INDIA

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2023.00093.9

ABSTRACT

Social media, as a contemporary paradigm of digital communication, encompasses a diverse array of interactive tools that facilitate real-time information exchange, collaborative learning, and participatory engagement among individuals. In the agricultural domain, these platforms have emerged as transformative conduits for farmer-to-farmer knowledge sharing, capacity building, and problem-solving. Historically, mass media channels such as newspapers, television, and magazines constituted the primary means of disseminating agricultural information. However, the advent of the 21st century has redefined communication dynamics, placing unprecedented informational power directly into the hands of end-users through mobile and internet-enabled devices (Lathiya et al., 2015).

In the context of modern agriculture, farmers increasingly require access to cutting-edge technologies and timely, evidence-based information to address the multifaceted challenges stemming from technological gaps and environmental uncertainties. Social media platforms enable the exchange of agricultural innovations, experiential insights, and problem-solving strategies, which were traditionally shared through local, face-to-face interactions—often "over the farm gate." Platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, WhatsApp, and Twitter provide virtual spaces where farmers can pose queries, seek expert advice, and engage in peer-to-peer learning communities.

Empirical evidence indicates that a variety of social media channels are actively utilized in agricultural extension service delivery worldwide, with Facebook demonstrating the highest prevalence of use (64.7%). The majority of agricultural stakeholders engaging with social media are versatile users (33.5%), with a significant proportion (75.7%) primarily visiting these platforms to access information. This trend underscores the growing recognition of social media as a strategic tool for enhancing agricultural extension services. Extension officers affirm that social media can play a pivotal role in delivering critical agricultural advisories, thereby bridging the communication gap between practitioners and farming communities.

Nonetheless, the adoption and effective utilization of social media in agricultural extension are constrained by several systemic barriers, including limited awareness, high rates of illiteracy, inadequate user training, infrastructural deficiencies, insufficient stakeholder participation, lack of institutional integration, inadequate quality control mechanisms, absence of robust impact assessment frameworks, and the need for gender-sensitive approaches. While the integration of social media into agricultural extension systems is progressively gaining acceptance, its

optimization necessitates targeted interventions to address these structural and socio-economic challenges.

KEYWORDS: *Social Media, Agricultural Extension, Digital Communication, Farmer-To-Farmer Learning, Information Dissemination, Facebook, Whatsapp, Youtube.*

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Agriculture remains one of the most vital sectors in sustaining human life, economic development, and global food security. In many countries, particularly those with agrarian economies, agricultural administration plays a central role in planning, policy-making, and the coordination of farming activities, input distribution, and market regulation. Traditionally, agricultural administration relied heavily on physical extension services, printed bulletins, and radio broadcasts to disseminate information to farmers. However, with the rapid growth of digital technologies and the widespread penetration of the internet, social media has emerged as a powerful communication and coordination tool in the agricultural sector.

Social media platforms—such as Facebook, WhatsApp, YouTube, Twitter (X), and Instagram—are increasingly being used to share agricultural advice, market prices, weather forecasts, government schemes, pest control methods, and training materials. This shift aligns with the broader trend of digital transformation in governance and e-agriculture initiatives promoted by organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and national governments. The integration of social media into agricultural administration has enabled real-time interaction between farmers, policymakers, researchers, and agribusiness stakeholders, reducing communication gaps and improving decision-making processes.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

While social media's influence in sectors such as commerce, politics, and education has been widely studied, its specific contribution to agricultural administration remains relatively underexplored, especially in terms of policy communication, farmer engagement, and data-driven decision-making. In many rural regions, farmers still lack timely and accurate information on farming techniques, market trends, and government schemes due to limited access to traditional extension services. This information gap can lead to poor productivity, post-harvest losses, and reduced incomes.

The potential of social media to fill these gaps is significant, yet there is a need to understand how effectively it is being used, what challenges exist in its adoption, and the extent to which it is improving administrative efficiency and farmer livelihoods.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to assess the contribution of social media in enhancing agricultural administration. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Examine the role of social media in disseminating agricultural policies, market information, and best practices.
2. Analyze the extent to which social media facilitates farmer-government interaction and feedback mechanisms.

3. Identify the benefits, limitations, and challenges of using social media in agricultural administration.
4. Recommend strategies for optimizing social media use to improve agricultural governance and productivity.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The findings of this research will contribute to both academic knowledge and practical policy-making. For agricultural administrators, the study offers insights into leveraging low-cost, widely accessible communication platforms to reach a larger audience effectively. For farmers, the results can highlight ways to access reliable, timely, and relevant information that can improve farming decisions. Moreover, policymakers and development agencies can use the findings to design targeted interventions that bridge the digital divide and strengthen the role of ICT in agriculture.

1.5 Scope and Limitations

The study focuses on the use of social media platforms in agricultural administration, including communication of agricultural policies, market information, and technical advice. While examples may be drawn from multiple countries, particular emphasis will be placed on developing economies where agricultural activities form a significant portion of GDP. Limitations may include differences in internet accessibility, literacy levels, and digital skills among farmers, as well as the challenge of verifying the credibility of information shared online.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Introduction to the chapter

This chapter reviews empirical and theoretical work on the use of social media in agriculture and its implications for agricultural administration. It first clarifies concepts and theoretical lenses, then synthesizes empirical evidence by theme (information/extension, markets, learning, governance, crisis response, risks), reviews methodological trends, critically appraises the literature, and identifies gaps that motivate the present study.

2.2 Conceptual clarifications

Agricultural administration is treated as the set of institutional arrangements and everyday practices that plan, coordinate, deliver and regulate agricultural services — extension, input distribution, market regulation, research–farmer linkages and emergency response. Social media in agriculture covers platforms and chat apps (Facebook, WhatsApp, YouTube, Twitter/X, Instagram, and platform-specific groups) used for generating, sharing and interacting with agricultural information. Several recent reviews classify these affordances as: (a) broadcast/dissemination; (b) two-way exchange/feedback; (c) peer learning and community formation; and (d) commercial/market functions.

2.3 Theoretical frameworks used by other researchers

The literature draws on multiple complementary theories to explain adoption and effects of social media in agriculture: Diffusion of Innovations (Rogers) to explain uptake patterns; Technology Acceptance Models (TAM/UTAUT) to model perceived usefulness and facilitating conditions; Social Capital Theory to explain peer learning and trust formation; and e-governance / participatory governance perspectives to frame two-way policy communication. Several

empirical studies explicitly combine diffusion/acceptance frameworks with social capital and governance lenses to explain both individual adoption and institutional changes.

2.4 Empirical evidence — thematic synthesis

2.4.1 Information dissemination and extension service delivery

Multiple studies show social media accelerates dissemination of agronomic advice, weather alerts and government scheme information, and enables multimedia teaching (videos, images) that extension officers and farmers find useful. For example, studies of WhatsApp groups and chat apps document rapid sharing of instructional videos and localized advice that supplements formal extension services. Evidence indicates increased reach and timeliness compared with traditional bulletins and periodic visits, though uptake varies with digital literacy and connectivity.

2.4.2 Market linkages, price transparency and marketing

Empirical work from different regions finds that social media and messaging apps support price discovery, buyer–seller matching, and even direct marketing of produce — reducing intermediation costs for some farmers and improving turnover when networks are strong. A field study in Nigeria linked WhatsApp/Instagram use to increased marketing efficiency and turnover. Other case studies (e.g., MFarm in Kenya) show digital platforms can provide real-time price signals and collective selling mechanisms.

2.4.3 Knowledge sharing, peer learning, and capacity building

Peer-to-peer exchange on social platforms helps diffuse best practices and local innovations; “influencer” farmers, extension agents and NGOs often catalyze uptake. Reviews synthesizing many studies report that videos and peer demonstrations circulated through chat apps can be particularly effective for skill transfer. However, the literature also flags quality control issues: not all shared practices are vetted or appropriate for every agro-ecological context.

2.4.4 Policy communication and feedback loops (governance)

Researchers document cases where agricultural agencies and ministries use social media to publicize policies and solicit citizen feedback; where administrators actively engage the channel, perceptions of transparency and responsiveness improve. However, much of the observed use remains one-to-many broadcasting rather than structured, institutionalized two-way governance that changes administrative decisions. Comparative work suggests meaningful two-way engagement requires administrative capacity, moderation processes and commitment to act on online feedback.

2.4.5 Crisis management and early-warning systems

Several papers and case reports highlight the role of social media in rapid coordination during pest outbreaks, extreme weather and supply-chain disruptions. Chat groups have been used as local early-warning and coordination nodes. Yet the literature warns that the same speed amplifies rumors and unverified claims unless moderated or cross-checked by trusted institutions.

2.4.6 Risks: misinformation, equity and platform governance

A substantial strand of work stresses risks: misinformation and unvetted technical advice; gendered and socioeconomic digital divides that limit access and benefits; privacy and data-ownership concerns; and dependence on commercial platforms whose algorithmic curation may not align with public-good outcomes. Studies analyzing pesticide and climate communications on Twitter/X highlight how platform dynamics shape public narratives and can mislead stakeholders.

2.5 Methodological trends across the literature

Methodologies are diverse and growing: cross-sectional surveys of farmers and extension workers; qualitative case studies and ethnographies; mixed-methods combining interviews with platform content analysis; and emerging digital trace/network analysis to map information flows. Notably, a recent literature review and empirical papers call for more longitudinal and causal designs (e.g., randomized or quasi-experimental evaluations) and for combining digital traces with on-farm outcome measures to credibly link online activity to administrative or livelihood changes.

2.6 Critical appraisal — what the literature does well and where it falls short

Strengths in existing work:

- Rich descriptive and case research showing diverse practical uses of social media in agricultural contexts.
- Increasing methodological plurality with digital trace and mixed-methods studies emerging.

Shortcomings and limitations:

- **Causality gap:** Few rigorous impact evaluations convincingly link social media use to administrative performance metrics or farmer welfare (income/productivity) — although a few recent studies begin to address this with econometric techniques.
- **Platform governance & algorithmic effects:** Little empirical work analyses how platform moderation, design and algorithms shape what agricultural actors see and act upon.
- **Equity and inclusion:** Although many papers note digital divides, fewer systematically measure gender, caste/ethnicity or smallholder–commercial differentials in outcomes.

2.7 Research gaps and opportunities (to be addressed in this study)

From the synthesis above, the most important gaps relevant to agricultural administration are:

1. **Causal evidence** linking social media use to administrative outcomes (timeliness, responsiveness, policy uptake, measurable farmer outcomes).
2. **Systematic study of two-way governance**: how and when social media moves beyond broadcasting into institutionalized feedback that changes administrative behavior.
3. **Platform and algorithmic analyses**: understanding how affordances and moderation shape agricultural information ecosystems.
4. **Equity-focused evaluations** that unpack who benefits and who is left out (gender, socioeconomic status, remoteness).

5. **Integration of digital trace data with field outcomes** to triangulate online activity with administrative records and farm-level outcomes.

3. Role of Social Media in Agricultural Administration

Social media is significantly reshaping agricultural administration by enhancing communication, fostering market access, advancing peer learning, facilitating policy engagement, and strengthening crisis responsiveness within rural communities.

3.1 Information Dissemination and Farmer Awareness

Social media platforms such as WhatsApp, YouTube, and Facebook are revolutionizing how farmers access agronomic advice and government bulletins. A survey in Punjab revealed that young farmers increasingly favor social media—particularly WhatsApp and YouTube—over traditional media such as radio. Around 27% used social media for crop management improvements, 16.5% for optimized pesticide applications, and nearly 24% for market-related information. Empirical studies also confirm WhatsApp's efficacy in rapidly disseminating location-specific farming techniques, aiding efficient knowledge transfer and digital engagement

3.2 Price Information and Market Linkages

Social media helps bridge information and transaction gaps in fragmented agricultural markets. For instance, Kenya's MFarm platform—a social media–driven SMS and online tool—empowered farmers with real-time price transparency, group selling options, and collective purchasing power, helping them bypass intermediaries and double their sales . Although MFarm operates as an independent app rather than a typical social network, it illustrates the potential impact of digitally mediated peer and buyer connections.

3.3 Capacity Buildingand Knowledge Sharing

Peer learning and exchange thrive on social platforms. WhatsApp groups of innovative farmers in India have emerged as dynamic forums where members share not only practical agronomic tips but also insights on crop business models, branding, and farm-level innovations. Additionally, extension workers in Bihar delivered wheat farming videos via WhatsApp in 70% of interactions, demonstrating how effectively chat apps complement (though do not perfectly replace) face-to-face advisory services .

3.4 Policy Communication and Feedback Channels

Social media is increasingly employed by agricultural agencies to broadcast policies and receive feedback. A quasi-experimental intervention in Himachal Pradesh using WhatsApp and Facebook groups enabled farmers to request solutions, build social capital, and share multimodal information—though issues like irrelevant messaging and poor connectivity emerged as constraints. Another study in Telangana found that WhatsApp-based agro-advisory services reached diverse farmer groups across agro-climatic zones, with medium levels of innovativeness, information-seeking, and digital literacy among users, illustrating its potential and the varied readiness among participants.

3.5 Crisis and Disaster Management in Agriculture

Although direct studies on social media's role in agricultural crises are limited, parallels from public health crises—including social media mobilization during disease or pest events—stress both potential and pitfalls. For instance, social media has supported early alerts and coordination

in outbreaks but also spread misinformation when unmoderated . These dynamics highlight the need for verified, moderated platforms when deploying social media for agricultural crisis response.

Evidence from recent studies underscores social media's diverse and growing role in agricultural administration:

- **Rapid, multimedia-rich dissemination** of agricultural knowledge;
- **Enhanced market connectivity**, transparency, and collective action;
- **Peer-based learning networks** empowering farmers beyond formal sources;
- **Policy outreach and feedback loops**, though still evolving;
- **Potential in crisis management**, with careful governance to prevent misinformation.

These platforms—most prominently WhatsApp and Facebook—add value especially when integrated with traditional extension services. Their success hinges on accessibleinfrastructure, digital literacy, moderation, and adaptation to farmer contexts. The following chapters will delve deeper into how these functions shape administrative outcomes at scale.

4. Impact Analysis

This chapter assesses the empirical and practical impacts of social media on agricultural administration. It first reviews positive contributions, then examines major challenges and risks (with special attention to misinformation and the digital divide), presents illustrative case studies from different regions, and finally compares social-media-based communication with traditional extension methods.

4.1 Positive Contributions

Social media has introduced several tangible benefits for agricultural administration by improving the speed, reach and modality of communication between administrators, extension agents, farmers and market actors. Platforms and chat apps (notably WhatsApp, Facebook and YouTube) enable multimedia advisories (short video demonstrations, pictorial step-by-step instructions and voice notes) that overcome some literacy barriers and deliver location-specific technical guidance more rapidly than printed bulletins or sporadic field visits; studies across Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia report higher reach and better uptake of simple agronomic practices when multi-modal social media content supplements formal extension.

Market transparency and transaction efficiency are additional major contributions. Social-media groups and digitally-enabled marketplaces allow farmers to receive near real-time price signals, advertise produce, coordinate collective sales and identify buyers directly, which can shorten value chains and reduce the share captured by intermediaries in some contexts. Comparative and qualitative studies show measurable gains in marketing efficiency and bargaining power for farmers engaged in active online networks.

Social media also accelerates capacity building and peer learning. Farmers use group chats to crowdsource problem solving, share field trial results and access recorded training sessions; extension services that repurpose video demonstrations for chat apps report greater retention and repeated viewing compared with one-time classroom training. Moreover, when administrative

agencies or trusted NGOs moderate groups, social media can create durable channels for continuing education and technical backstopping at low marginal cost.

Finally, there is growing evidence that social media can strengthen administrative functions themselves—improving the timeliness of policy announcements, enabling administrators to monitor ground conditions via farmer reports, and creating low-cost feedback loops that, if institutionalized, increase perceived responsiveness. However, the scale and durability of these administrative gains vary by context and institutional capacity.

4.2 Challenges and Risks (Misinformation, Digital Divide)

Despite the benefits, the literature highlights important risks that can blunt or reverse positive impacts.

Misinformation and poor quality advice are persistent problems. Studies and systematic reviews show that unverified agronomic recommendations, rumors about pests or policy changes, and sensationalist claims can spread quickly on social platforms; these can lead to inappropriate pesticide use, panic selling, or misdirected labor and inputs if not corrected by trusted intermediaries. Research calls for active moderation, verification workflows and integration with trusted extension channels to reduce harm.

The digital divide—unequal access to devices, connectivity, digital skills and supportive social capital—creates differentiated benefits. Gendered gaps in smartphone ownership and digital literacy, disparities between remote and peri-urban farmers, and socioeconomic barriers to sustained data use mean that social media can widen rather than narrow information inequalities unless explicitly addressed. Several field studies document lower participation and benefit capture among women and the poorest smallholders.

Other operational challenges include platform dependence (relying on commercial services whose algorithms and privacy policies are opaque), message overload and irrelevance in poorly moderated groups, language and localization barriers, and the need for administrators to develop new capacities (moderation, content production, digital monitoring) that are not part of traditional extension training. These governance and capacity constraints often determine whether social media complements or confuses administrative efforts.

4.3 Case Studies from Different Regions

Empirical case work provides grounded insight into how impacts vary by context:

• **India (chat apps + video):** Multiple projects in Indian states (e.g., Bihar, Punjab, Telangana) show that WhatsApp-mediated distribution of short farming videos and moderated group discussions increased farmer engagement and, in some instances, adoption of recommended practices. These interventions highlight the role of local language content and trusted local moderators in driving uptake.

• **Kenya (market platforms & peer networks):** Kenyan initiatives—ranging from social-media–driven farmer groups to digital price services—demonstrate improvements in market information and collective selling, helping some farmer groups increase revenues and reduce transaction costs; these successes typically combine online signals with offline logistics and aggregation mechanisms.

• **Ghana (extension transformation):** Recent research in Ghana illustrates how social media can reshape extension delivery at scale, improving reach in some agro-ecological zones but facing obstacles where network quality is low or where institutions lack digital strategies.

• **Comparative multi-country studies:** Cross-country comparative work (e.g., United States vs Brazil; multi-country ICT reviews) reveals that the same communication technologies produce different outcomes depending on institutional readiness, market structure and regulatory environments—suggesting the importance of tailoring social-media strategies to administrative capacity and local agrarian political economy. These cases collectively indicate that social media's impact is highly path-dependent: successes combine localized content, trusted moderation, complementary offline services (aggregation, extension follow-up) and sufficient digital infrastructure.

4.4 Comparative Analysis with Traditional Communication Methods

When compared with traditional extension and communication channels (printed advisories, radio, periodic field visits), social media offers clear advantages in speed, interactivity and multi-modal content delivery. Studies show that social platforms can maintain ongoing contact between extension agents and farmers at lower recurring cost and can provide richer instructional media (video demonstrations) that improve comprehension and replication.

However, traditional methods retain strengths that social media alone does not fully replace. Face-to-face extension provides hands-on training, builds interpersonal trust, and reaches farmers without digital access—functions that remain essential for complex skill transfer and for equitable outreach. Hybrid models—combining digital social-media channels for broad, timely messaging and community radio/field visits for depth and inclusion—are therefore emerging as the pragmatic middle path in recent empirical work. Rigorous comparative studies and randomized evaluations remain limited, but existing mixed-method research favors integrated, complementary communication strategies rather than wholesale substitution.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

The integration of social media into agricultural administration has reshaped the way information, markets, policies, and emergency responses function in rural contexts. Evidence from multiple studies shows that platforms such as WhatsApp, Facebook, and YouTube have enabled faster dissemination of agricultural advisories, increased market transparency, fostered peer-to-peer learning, and opened new channels for policy communication and feedback (Singh et al., 2023; Boateng et al., 2022). These benefits are most pronounced when social media is embedded within broader institutional strategies and supported by complementary offline interventions.

The analysis also reveals important challenges that temper the potential of these tools. Misinformation remains a significant threat, as unverified content can spread rapidly and undermine trust in both administrative bodies and extension services (Kumar & Sharma, 2022). The digital divide—shaped by factors such as gender, income, literacy, and network connectivity—limits the inclusiveness of social media-based interventions (Ofori & Asiedu, 2023).

Comparative studies indicate that social media should not be viewed as a replacement for traditional communication methods but rather as a complementary channel that enhances reach and responsiveness while preserving the depth and trust built through face-to-face extension and community-based media (Mwangi & Wambugu, 2023). Overall, the role of social media in agricultural administration is evolving from being an experimental tool to becoming an essential component of modern agricultural governance, provided its limitations are addressed proactively.

6. Recommendations

Policy and Governance

1. **Institutionalize social media use in agricultural departments** by developing formal digital communication strategies, content moderation protocols, and response mechanisms.
2. **Strengthen partnerships** between government agencies, agricultural universities, and farmer organizations to co-create localized, verified content.

Bridging the Digital Divide

3. **Target digital inclusion initiatives**—such as subsidized data packages, community internet hubs, and mobile training—to ensure equitable access for women, smallholders, and remote farmers.
4. **Promote digital literacy programs** to enhance farmers' ability to critically assess online information and use social media tools effectively.

Content Quality and Verification

5. **Establish verification mechanisms** where agricultural advisories shared on social media are cross-checked by accredited extension officers or agricultural research institutions.
6. **Deploy multilingual and multimedia content** (videos, infographics, audio messages) to cater to diverse literacy levels and linguistic contexts.

Integration with Traditional Methods

7. **Adopt hybrid communication models** that combine social media messaging for broad outreach with on-ground extension visits, radio programs, and farmer field schools for in-depth engagement.
8. **Use social media analytics** to identify information gaps and adapt traditional extension schedules to address emerging needs more effectively.

Monitoring and Evaluation

9. **Implement impact assessment frameworks** that combine digital trace data with field surveys to measure how social media interventions affect agricultural practices, productivity, and administrative efficiency.
10. **Encourage longitudinal research** to track how social media adoption in agriculture evolves over time and across policy contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Extension Digest. (2017). Mobile apps empowering farmers (Vol. 1, No. 2). National Institute of Agricultural Extension Management (MANAGE), Hyderabad.

2. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2013a). Information and communication technologies for sustainable agriculture: Indicators from *Asia and the Pacific* (RAP Publication). Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/docrep/019/i3557e/i3557e00.htm.

3. Global Forum for Rural Advisory Services (GFRAS). (2012). *Fact sheet on extension services* (Position Paper). GFRAS, June 2012.

4. Goyal, A. (2011). ICT in agriculture sourcebook: Connecting smallholders to knowledge, networks, and institutions. Washington, DC: World Bank.

5. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, *53*(1), 59–68. Retrieved from http://michaelhaenlein.com/Publications/Kaplan,%20Andreas%20%20Users%20of%20the%20world%20unite.pdf.

6. Karthikeyan, C. (2012). Impact of e-Velanmai (e-Agriculture): An ICT enabled agricultural extension model. International Journal of Extension Education, *50*(8), 24–30.