

**UNIQUENESS OF THE HUMAN PERSON: ITS IMPLICATION ON  
POLITICS, ECONOMY, EDUCATION AND RELIGION**

**Chukwu Peter Damian Ezechi\*; Joachim Okoroafor\*\***

\*Ph.D,

Directorate of General Studies,

Gregory University Uturu,

Abia State, Nigeria

Email id: [d.ezechi@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng](mailto:d.ezechi@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng)

\*\*Ph.D,

Directorate of General Studies

Gregory University Uturu,

Abia State, Nigeria

Email id: [j.okoroafor@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng](mailto:j.okoroafor@gregoryuniversityuturu.edu.ng)

**DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2023.00008.3**

---

**ABSTRACT**

*The beauty of nature lies in uniqueness. Every created thing in the universe wears this cloak of uniqueness. In creation, the creator never creates two things with the same mould. This is to say that after creating a single entity the creator destroys the mould. No two things are of the same nature with another, even those in the same family or of the same species. Coming to the human family, the same uniqueness is applicable. No two human beings are the same, even between identical twins there are still always that marks of identification. This shows that every human being is meant to act himself, for he has no replica. Every human person has a unique content and container, meaning that any form of competition and comparison in one's life is stifles creativity and innovation. Hence, this work is out to liberate humanity from the aged long monster of squeezing individuals into a single mould. It will do a critical analysis and exposition on the power of uniqueness via creativity and innovation in politics, economy, education and religion. Hence, the clarion call "Give me chance to act myself, do not squeeze me in the same mould, I have no replica!"*

**KEYWORDS:** *Freedom, Individuality, Competition, Comparison, Uniqueness, Politics, Economy, Education, Religion, Human Person.*

---

**REFERENCES**

1. Linda Zagzebski, (2001). The Uniqueness of persons, journal of religious ethics, Vol. 29, No. 3, Blackwell publishing Ltd, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40015297>
  2. Fagothey A, (1959). Ethics in Theory and Practice: Right and Reason. United State of America: the C.V. Mosby Company.
  3. George H & Thomas L. (1973). A History of Political Theory. New Delhi: Mohan Pramlani.
-

4. James Linder e tal (2021). sensation Overview and types. <https://study.com/academy/lesson/what-is-sensation-in-psy...> Retrieved 1/8/2023.
5. Mill J.S, (1963). Utility of Religion. London: Oxford University Press.
6. Mill, J.S. (1976). Utilitarianism, on liberty and Consideration and representative government. London: Fontana
7. Mukherjee S. & Ramaswamy S. (2007). A History of Political Thought: Plato to Marx. New Delhi: Prentice-Hill.
8. Okoroafor J. (2016). John Stuart Mill's Concept of Individual Liberty: A Critical Analysis. PhD Dissertation, NNAMDI Azikiwe University, Awka.
9. Okoroafor J. (2021). Live your Style. UK: Amazon Publishers.
10. Stumpf, S.A . (1994). Philosophy, History and Problems. USA: McGraw-Hill.
11. Thomas M& Peter M (1984). Understanding Politics: Ideas, Institutions, and Issues. New York: Martin's Press.
12. Vocabulary.com (<https://www.vocabulary.com>, Retrieved on 1/6/2023)
13. Wikipedia.org [https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Will\\_\(philosophy\)](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Will_(philosophy)). Retrieved 1/8/2023.