

INTERTEXTUAL MARKERS IN TRANSLATION

Kholibekova Omongul Kenjaboyevna*

*Basic Doctoral Student,

Navoi State Pedagogical Institute,

Navoi, UZBEKISTAN

Email id: o.kholibekova@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2022.00149.5

ABSTRACT

The article reflects an effort to scrutinize significant developments in the field of interfaces between intertextuality and translation, as well as to provide the general outline of approaches towards intertextuality research within the framework of translation studies. The research is topical as it is the first attempt at an overview of the overall strategies towards adapting intertextuality to translation analysis.

KEYWORDS: *intertextuality, intertextual irony, myth, implicit intertext, logopoeia, metatext, metacommunication, intertextual hybrid, topology of culture.*

REFERENCE:

1. Neubert A, Shreve G. Translation as Text. Kent; London: The Kent State Univ. Press; 1992. 197 p.
 2. Steiner G. After Babel. Aspects of Language and Translation. Oxford; New York: Oxford Univ. Press; 1992. 538 p.
 3. Popovic A. Aspects of Metatext. Canadian Review of Comparative Literature. 1976. Autumn. pp. 225–235.
 4. Franko I. Moses and Other Poems. New York; Atlanta; Los Angeles; Chicago: Vantage Press; 1987. 146 p.
 5. Pound E. Guido's Relations. The Translation Studies Reader. In: Venuti L. (Ed). London; New York: Routledge; 2000. pp. 24–33.
 6. Hatim B, Mason I. Discourse and the Translator. London; New York: Longman; 1990. 258 p.
 7. Pound E. Polite Essays. London: Faber & Faber; 1937. 207 p.
 8. Shakespeare W. The Complete Works. Oxford: The Shakespeare Head Press; 1999. 126p.
 9. Kristeva J. Desire in Language: A Semiotic Approach to Literature and Art. In: Roudiez LS, Gora T. (Ed), New York; 136 p.
 10. Steiner G. After Babel. Aspects of Language and Translation. Oxford; New York: Oxford Univ. Press; 1992. 538 p.
-