

TYPES OF INTERACTIVE METHODS IN DEVELOPING INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE IN DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS

Siddikova Nasiba Narzullayevna*; **Gafforova Iroda****

*Teacher,

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,
UZBEKISTAN

**Student,

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,
UZBEKISTAN

Email id: mamatkulovaferuza11@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2022.00248.8

ABSTRACT

This article is concerning with mentioning different modes of interactive methods in that enhancing intercultural competence in different age groups. Furthermore, there have been views and explanations of researchers who investigate this field. In this case, some comparison are expressed related to the discussion.

KEYWORDS: *Interactive Methods, Intercultural Competence, Encounter, Conversation, Practice, Language.*

REFERENCES:

1. Stupina SB. Technologies of interactive learning in higher education: the teaching method," allowance, Saratov: Science, 2009.
 2. Isakovich EA, Kolobkova NN. Continuity in foreign-language education: problems and prospects: monograph. Volgograd, Volgograd scientific publishing house, 2016, pp. 123-133.
 3. Kaminskaya EV. A variety of modern interactive methods of teaching a foreign language in a university. Language and the world of the language, 2016;(7):159-165.
 4. Zhironkina OV. Interactive methods of teaching foreign language to future economists in class. Bulletin of KemSU, 2009;(1):37-45.
 5. Rayhona N. Peculiarities of 20th century english literature and main characteristics-Indian Journals <https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:aca&volume=12&issue=1&article=041>
 6. Kulichenko YuN, Popova OYu, Linkova YuI. The use of multimedia presentations in teaching a foreign language to students of non-language specializations. The world of science, culture, education, 2016;4(59):30-33.
 7. Azzamov YuR. Gastronomic Components of The Language Cultural Properties of The Phrasological Units. International Journal of Art Art, 2021;4(5).
-

8. Ugli AYR. Gastronomic discourse: linguoculturological and translation aspects. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 2021;11(8):62-66.
9. Aslonov ShSh. Computer Linguistics and Philology: Problems and Solutions. Humanitarian Treatise, 2020;(84):17-19.
10. Aslonova ShI. Problems of Pedagogical Technologies in Teaching Youth in Higher Educational Institutions. *Inter science*, 2020;(21-1):59-60.
11. Madaminovich TI. The Linguistic Peculiarities And Appropriate Methods Of Translation. *Eastern European Scientific Journal*, 2017;(12-4 (28)):52-53.
12. Shvachko EV. Scientific schools. Youth in Science and Culture of the 21st Century: Proceedings of the Intern. scientific and creative forum. Chelyabinsk: ChGIK, 2017. 394 p.
13. Madaminovich TI, Khusanovich KB, Akhatovna KO, Kholmamatovna BL. (2019). Features of the system of formation of compensatory competence among agricultural students as a means of filling in professional terminology. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 2019;8(11):2202-2206.
14. Tukhtasinov IM. National And Cultural Specificity Of Compound Words Expressing The Appearance And Character Of A Human (By The Material Of The English And Uzbek Languages). *Paradigmata Poznání*, 2014;(3):74-78.
15. Tukhtasinov IM. Comparative analysis of the description of a person's appearance in English and Uzbek languages (stylistic perspective). *Bulletin of the Moscow State Linguistic University. Humanities*, 2011;(630):105-110.