

**TYPES OF SEMANTIC TRANSFERENCE IN DRAMATIC DISCOURSE
(ON THE EXAMPLE OF UZBEK AND ENGLISH TEXTS)**

Nurislom Iskandarovich Khursanov*

*Teacher,

Department of “Intercultural Communication and Tourism”,
Alisher Navoi Tashkent State University of Uzbek Language and Literature,
UZBEKISTAN

Email id: khursanovnurislom@navoiy-uni.uz

Email id: nurilsomkhursnov92@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2022.00252.X

ABSTRACT

Dramatic discourse is characterized by the full communicative actions of the addressers and the addressees, the consistent and clear expression of the pragmatic program. This article discusses the types of semantic transference in dramatic discourse.

KEYWORDS: *Dramatic Discourse, Lexical-Semantic Units, Expressive-Emotional, Verbal And Nonverbal, Structural, Logical, Pragmatic And Socio-Pragmatic Features.*

REFERENCES

1. Arutyunova ND. (1990) Discourse [Text]. Linguistic Encyclopedic Dictionary. Moscow: Soviet Encyclopedia. 1990. p. 137.
2. Austin JL. How to do things with words. Oxford Oxford University Press. 1962.
3. Jakobson R. Closing Statement: Linguistics and Poetic. In: Sebeok, TA. (ed.). Style in language. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. 1960. pp. 350-357.
4. Rahmatullaev Sh. Modern Uzbek literary language. – Tashkent. 2006. p. 51.
5. Halliday, Michael AK. An Introduction to Functional Grammar. London: Edward Arnold. Jakobson, Roman. 1985.
6. Louis G. Metaphtonymy: The interaction of metaphor and metonymy in expressions for linguistic action. Cognitive Linguistics, 1990;(1-3):323-340
7. Ullmann S. Semantics. Oxford: Blackwell. 1962.
8. Kennedy JM. Metaphor in pictures. Perception 1982;11(5):589–605.
9. Gibbs RW. The Poetics of Mind. New York: Cambridge University Press.1994.
10. Mukarramov M. Analogy in Uzbek Language. Tashkent. 1976. p. 13.
11. Abdurahmonov Sh. Linguistic means of arousing laughter in Uzbek artistic speech. ND. Tashkent. 1997. p.105.

12. Khursanov NI. Discursive analysis of dramatic works. Department of Science of the National Information Agency of Uzbekistan (electronic journal), - Tashkent, January 2022. pp. 255-263.
13. Khursanov NI. Linguocognitive Analysis of the Verbs of Behavior Expressing Human Personality (Behavior) (On The Basis of Uzbek and English Languages Materials). Current Research Journal of Philological Sciences 2021;2(5):85-90.
14. Searle JR. What is a speech act? indirect speech acts; Classification of speech acts // New in foreign linguistics. Issue. XVII. Moscow. 1986.
15. Davletnazarova LB. Causes of pragmatic failure and ways to avert them in teaching english language. International Journal of Discourse on Innovation, Integration and Education, 2021;2(2), 53-56.
16. Raupova LR, Sultonova Sh. Scientific Basis of Compiling a Dictionary of Grammatical Terms. Current Research Journal of Philological Sciences, 2-21;2(10):116-124.
17. Khursanov NI. Linguistic Classifications Related to Discourse and Its Classifications. Theoretical & Applied Science 2021;102(10):825-829.