ACADEMICIA

ISSN: 2249-7137 Vol. 11, Issue 10, October 2021 Impact Factor: SJIF 2021 = 7.492

ACADEMICIA
An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal
(Double Blind Refereed & Peer Reviewed Journal)

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2021.02102.9

ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF PAPER RECYCLING

Rajkishor Singh*; Dr. Aniket Kumar**

[1, 2,]School of Electronics,
Electrical & Mechanical Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering and Technology,
Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology,
(Deemed to be University), Meerut, INDIA
Email id: rajkishore@shobhituniversity.ac.in, [2]aniket.kumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT

The method of paper recycling and bleaching has been investigated in the current article. The collecting of waste paper and cardboard is economically and ecologically inevitable due to geographical and temporal dispersion and the necessity to develop suitable methods, particularly in urban regions. The collection of waste paper in industrialized nations is managed in such a manner that waste paper is prevented from entering the waste cycle from the start. However, in third-world countries, despite having sufficient technical knowledge, the process does not work well for a variety of reasons, including a lack of regulatory organizations, a lack of sense of responsibility among professionals in the field of recycling, and public ignorance, and it is necessary to pay attention to proper waste paper collection. Due to the presence of valuable materials in municipal solid waste, which is sometimes referred to as "dirty gold," the municipality must spend a lot of money to collect them (75 to 80 percent), and often this waste can be recovered and buried in the ground by using proper and technical planning based on accurate and reliable data. Economic variables, the most significant of which are inflation, environmental issues, consumer patterns, raw resources, technology, and products are the most important elements influencing paper recycling, according to the findings of this research. Separating waste paper from the source is also the best method to collect it for recycling.

KEYWORDS: *Environment, Fossil Fuel, Recycling Paper, Renewable Energy, Waste Paper.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The effect of energy consumption in manufacturing is a major problem in paper recycling. Processing waste paper for paper and board production necessitates the use of energy generated from fossil fuels like oil and coal[1]. Waste paper processing, unlike the manufacture of virgin fiber based chemical pulp, does not provide a thermal surplus, therefore thermal energy must be supplied to dry the paper web[2]. However, if waste paper could be recovered for energy, the demand for fossil fuels would be decreased, which would have a positive influence on the carbon dioxide balance and greenhouse effect. Furthermore, pulp manufacturing from virgin fibers necessitates the use of round wood, which emits air-polluting chemicals, as does waste paper collecting. Consumers and politicians have turned to the forest sector as an easy target for their environmental goals. This has prompted calls for reforms in the industrial forest production system in nations like as Germany, Sweden, and the United States[3].

The Scandinavian forest sector is concerned that European Union or individual country political choices would impose a set usage rate for waste paper in paper and board manufacturing. If the environmental effects of alternative applications are not thoroughly examined, such choices may result in sub-optimal waste paper usage. Energy recovery and landfill are two important alternatives to recycling for paper manufacturing[4]. When Western Europe is split into two areas, a basic sketch of fiber flow connections may be seen. Though greater recycling is widely considered to be desirable and essential, the advantages of paper recycling have not been thoroughly studied. In a number of nations, waste management policy is defined by a hierarchy of choices, with waste reduction, reuse, and recycling all being preferred over energy recovery. This, in turn, is thought to be better than landfill[5]. Any evaluation of recycling, on the other hand, should compare the effects, costs, and advantages of recycling to those of other waste disposal alternatives. This is the primary goal of the article. The manufacturing procedures are described using an engineering methodology. However, both economic and environmental factors are taken into account. Under different assumptions, the Model produces optimum fiber flows. In 2011, the United States recycled 66.8% of their paper use. More paper is collected for recycling than plastic, metal, and glass combined, and every ton of paper recycled saves more than 3.3 cubic yards of landfill space. Because 87 percent of us have access to curbside or drop-off recycling for paper, it's a material we're accustomed to recycling[6]. Furthermore, in 2011, 76 percent of paper mills utilized some recovered material, indicating that the paper you toss away is making its way into a variety of new goods. The process of converting old paper into new paper may seem to be complicated, but it is really very simple.

You could even attempt recreating this technique with anything from old wrapping paper to junk mail if you're feeling adventurous. Paper recycling, on the other hand, enables us to conserve both energy and materials on a large scale. According to the EPA, recycling one ton of paper saves 17 trees, 7,000 gallons of water, and 463 gallons of gasoline. Continue reading to learn more about how the process works and how to recycle paper properly. Because it has previously been recycled many times, newspaper is a lower quality paper, while printer paper is a better grade paper[7]. The length of the fibers in a piece of paper determines its grade, which shortens with each trip through the recycling process. According to the EPA, after five to seven recycling cycles, the fibers become too short to produce new paper and must be combined with fresh fibers. Have you ever heard of paper having "seven generations"? This term refers to the number of times paper fibers may be recycled before becoming too short.

According to the EPA, there are five main paper grading classifications. While these phrases are primarily helpful to paper mills seeking to process certain types of paper, you may hear them from time to time and need to know how to differentiate between them[8]. Now that you know how paper is turned into new paper, you need to know how you may recycle correctly as a consumer. For example, you may sometimes come upon a kind of paper that you don't know what to deal with. Understanding some fundamental paper terminology – such as those for various types of paper and different types of recycling – may help you put the correct items in the right bin in such circumstances. You may still have questions regarding paper recycling after you know what kinds of paper recycling are accessible to you and which types of paper are recyclable[9]. Here are a few often misunderstood things. Have you ever wondered whether shredded paper might be recycled? Yes, but there may be certain limitations in your area regarding the size of the shredded pieces and how the paper is contained.

For further information, contact your local recycling program. Equipment at paper mills that recycle recovered paper, believe it or not, is intended to remove staples and paper clips, so you don't have to remove them before recycling. However, removing paper clips so that they may be reused is generally in your best interest. The Model takes into account the environmental impact of all important activities and transportation; for example, the carbon dioxide balance is computed in the system. As a result, the Model not only calculates industry-related fiber cycles, but also the function of forestry and forest products in the climatologically significant carbon dioxide circulation. The environmental impacts of the many activities in the overall system are also calculated using the same approach as in certain life cycle assessments. An environmental load index value is assigned to each emission and usage of nonrenewable resources such as oil and coal (ELU-index). The ELU-index is based on the EPS-system, which stands for Environmental Priority Strategies in Product Design.

The concept is based on people's willingness to pay to prevent the negative effects of certain pollutants. We track all manufacturing processes and emissions rather than focusing on just paper and board manufacture, which is the standard approach for life cycle analysis. Scandinavia (Finland, Norway, and Sweden) and Continental Western Europe (the United Kingdom) are the two areas that make up Western Europe. Scandinavia is often referred to as the other region's "lumberyard." Each area has its own production resources as well as a market for paper and energy. The goods are either supplied to the local market or to the international market. Paper is recycled for paper and board manufacture and/or recovered for energy usage after it has served its purpose. Waste paper is collected, sorted, baled, and sent to paper mills in either area for the manufacture of recycled pulp if it can be recycled[10].

2. DISCUSSION

The waste paper is expected to follow the usual waste-handling system if it is recovered for energy consumption. It then takes the place of oil or coal. The gathered paper is eventually sent to Scandinavia or the rest of Western Europe. The price of fossil fuel and round wood determines the value of waste paper. The more waste paper is recovered for energy purposes, the greater the price of oil. In the Model, waste paper that is not repurposed has no economic value and has a negative environmental value. As a result, all waste paper is recovered in the Model. It is expected that there is sufficient capacity for de-ink and energy generation. Newsprint, SC paper, LWC, office paper (wood-free), coated paper (wood-free), tissue, white lined chipboard, return fiber chipboard,' wrapping paper, white liner, Kraft-liner, and fluting are among the twelve paper grades produced by the Model. For each product, recipes indicate the amount of fiber, filler, and calories required. In order to maintain the desired quality of the goods, the Model selects between virgin and recycled fibers. There are five distinct flush and market pulps provided.

Non-integrated paper mills in other areas of Western Europe get dried pulp in sheets from Scandinavian manufacturers. For each pulp quality, the amount of pulp wood short and long fibers and energy required is given. Paper is made using the leftover energy from pulp manufacturing. Back-pressure power or condensation power plants that burn coal, oil, wood, or waste paper may generate electricity. Hydroelectric power facilities, on the other hand, are the primary source of energy in the Nordic nations. The Forestry section of the Model explains how the forest absorbs CO2.Energy is used and expenses are incurred as a result of timber harvesting and transportation. The energy required in fertilizer production is also taken into account. The pulp mill module explains how to make pulp from wood as a raw material. Electricity, thermal energy, and chemicals are used in addition to wood. The pulp mill's extra energy may be put to good use in the paper mill. Back-pressure steam turbines and condensing turbines may both generate electricity. Waste paper pulp is made from recovered paper in the de-inking mill module. The model estimates the costs of using low-quality waste paper.

Other than that, the calculations are identical to those for the pulp mill. The fiber composition of each particular product is used to determine both the yield of the process that generates recycled pulp and the energy value in waste paper. The impact of filler is also taken into account. The composition of the paper affects the efficiency of the recycled pulp mill and the thermal energy recovered from burning it. The Model's paper mill module explains how paper is made from virgin pulp and waste paper pulp. In addition, several kinds of energy and fillers are used. Emissions to the atmosphere and water are measured and recorded. Different quantities of recovered paper from various goods may be used to make the paper products. Incorrect combinations, however, are prohibited by the Model's restrictions. When creating wood-free characteristics, for example, wood-containing paper is not utilized. In the model, waste paper collection necessitates the use of energy in the form of diesel fuel, electricity, and other resources with variable costs. Standard environmental emissions are taken into account. The amount of resources required varies based on the product and the location. The energy and financial resources required to gather paper are increasing. The resources required to gather the final 30% of consumption, for example, are three to six times greater than those required to collect the first 30%, depending on quality. It's believed that there's enough industrial capability to recycle waste paper into fibers or electricity. This means that, even if it is a possibility, the recovered waste paper does not end up in a landfill since it has economic worth for both paper and energy generation. Energy is required for all activities, including transportation. All of the energy in the model is produced in an energy plant, which also calculates environmental emissions.

Energy may be bought, however certain types of energy, such as all diesel-fueled transportation, cannot be replaced. On the other hand, electricity and heat may be produced using both fossil fuels (oil or coal) and the burning of fiber products. Energy may be created from both water and fossil fuels in Scandinavia, while electricity generated from fossil fuels is required throughout the rest of Western Europe. Emissions, of course, are impacted. Prices, efficiency, manufacturing costs, and transportation costs are among the model's input data. Each paper quality's fiber supply and energy requirements are given. The amount of wood and energy required, as well as the environmental emissions generated during the manufacturing process, are given for each kind of pulp. The Swedish Pulp and Paper Research Institute and the MoDo Company databases are among the data sources. Data from Germany is considered to be representative of the situation throughout Western Europe. An overview of the literature on the environmental implications of using waste paper in Sweden.

The report includes useful information on sludge, chemical usage, transportation, energy consumption, and emissions to the air and water. It's worth noting that although the statistics for Sweden is regarded trustworthy, the data for the rest of Western Europe might be better. For the year 1990, comprehensive data on the production and trade of Western European forest products was gathered. This was the year in which the most recent data for the nations examined was available. We pushed the model to preserve trees in Scandinavia by recycling fibers in the following two instances. It maximizes the utilization of waste paper for energy recovery and recycling, given the constraints. We minimize the cost of production for the forest industry in the first scenario, and we minimize the burden on the environment in the second situation, as assessed by the ELU-index. In 1990, Western Europe, excluding Scandinavia, had a utilization rate of 53%. The rate is unlikely to drop in the future; on the opposite, it is likely to rise. The model is permitted to utilize a range for the Western European utilization rate while determining the optimum solution. It's difficult to define the top range end of the utilization rate given the economic, technological, and practical constraints.

As a result, we've estimated that this ceiling is 20 percentage units higher than the current level, or 73 percent. When economic optimization is applied, the utilization rate for Western Europe, excluding Scandinavia, reaches the maximum of 73 percent. When environmental optimization is performed, the utilization rate is reduced to the lowest possible level, which is 53%. In this case, an economic optimization is done, i.e. the forest industry's marginal income is optimized. Because of the 'forced' utilization rates in the Scandinavian forest pulp and paper sector, the model is free to utilize recycled fibers in whichever manufacturing processes generate the most money. In the remainder of Western Europe, the forest sector finds a solution with a utilization rate of 53 to 73 percent. The economic answer is found at the top limit, as previously stated. When the entire use of recycled fibers in Scandinavian paper and board manufacturing varies, the total environmental effect for Western Europe as a change in the ELU-index. It also shows the variation in load depending on whether energy in Scandinavia is generated by hydropower or fossil fuels.

If energy is generated from fossil fuels, and the percentage of de-inked pulp used rises from 5 to 60%, the environmental burden reduces at first, then increases. The curve is rather flat, with a minimum utilization rate of about 30%. Initially, de-inked pulp replaces thermal mechanical pulp, but this potential fades with time. A pulp mill generates excess energy, which is utilized to dry the paper web. This energy excess must now be replaced by fossil-fuel-based energy. This implies that the minimal level is determined by the current pulp production structure in Scandinavia, i.e. the balance between chemical and thermomechanical pulp production. If the energy is hydroelectric, the disadvantage of recycling is much higher. The result of a higher utilization rate in this instance is a continual rise in oil consumption.

Another example is the compulsory use of waste paper in the production of newspaper and office paper. A comparison between hydroelectric power and fossil energy is performed as previously stated. If a condensing turbine power plant is utilized, this equates to about 20 GJ of heat energy per ton. If utilized for energy recovery after consumption, 11.5 GJ of heat per ton will be generated. As a result, when TMP is utilized, the overall energy savings for the whole system is about 7 GJ of heat per ton. The thermo-mechanical pulping process no longer transforms electricity into heat energy, which is required in the paper-making process, when paper is recycled as fibers. The cheapest accessible alternative, fossil (oil) energy, compensates for this energy loss. In addition to being a nonrenewable resource, burning oil for energy generates emissions, the most significant of which is carbon dioxide. If, on the other hand, the energy used to make newsprint comes from fossil fuels, a higher utilization rate is good for the environment. This is because the energy needed to create TMP in this instance is generated from fossil fuels in inefficient condensing power plants (40 percent). When electricity is not required, like when waste paper is utilized, it is more cost-effective to generate heat directly from fossil fuel. The de-inking process (2 G J/ton) and the paper mill (5.1 G J/ton) both need thermal energy.

As a result, instead of receiving 6 GJ of thermal energy, 7.1 GJ of heat per ton must be added. The usage of electric energy, on the other hand, is just 5.2 GJ/ton, which is 5.3 GJ/ton less than when TMP is used. Discrepancies in wood and waste paper transit contribute just a little amount to the ELU-index differences. The index does, however, show a slight rise in environmental effect, owing to higher emissions. In this final example, the ELU-index is used to make an environmental optimization. Given the 'forced' utilization rates in the Scandinavian forest pulp and paper sector, the model is free to utilize recycled fibers in whichever manufacturing processes reduce environmental impact. The model may, as in the previous instance, provide a solution for the forest sector in the remainder of Western Europe with a utilization rate of 53 to 73 percent. The environmental answer for the remainder of Western Europe is located at the lower limit, as previously indicated. When the entire use of recycled fibers in Scandinavian paper and board manufacturing varies, the total environmental effect for Western Europe as a change in the ELU-index. It is believed that, at least on the margin, the altered usage of power in Scandinavia is based on fossil fuel. Given a utilization rate of 53% in the rest of Europe, the figure indicates that a 'forced' utilization rate of about 30% in Scandinavia is environmentally advantageous. However, from 5% to the lowest threshold, the slope is rather flat.

The curve for the environmental effect has the same form as the curve for oil consumption. Oil usage has reduced by approximately 4 million m 3 when compared to the preceding scenario. This is because the utilization rate in the remainder of Western Europe has dropped from 73 to 53 percent, resulting in an increase in thermos mechanical pulp output and excess energy that may be utilized to dry the paper web. Comparing the outcomes of economic and environmental improvements is also fascinating. An economic optimization was carried out, with the usage rate for the remainder of Western Europe being the same as when an environmental optimization was carried out, i.e. 53%. The changes between the two optimizations are extremely minor. The market solution gets very near to an ecologically beneficial option for a fixed use of virgin fibers throughout the remainder of Western Europe, and with the current factor prices and levies.

3. CONCLUSION

In a number of nations, waste management policy is defined by a hierarchy of choices, with waste reduction, reuse, and recycling all being preferred to energy recovery, which is then preferred to disposal. The problems are complicated, and the science for evaluating them, life cycle analysis (LCA), is still in its early stages. There has been little research on the advantages of paper recycling. Alternatives were investigated using the LCA concept and a systems analysis methodology at the same time. When compared to conventional life cycle analysis, this method has the benefit of looking at the whole system. While a modification is made in one component of the system, such as a requirement on the utilization rate when manufacturing newspaper, the implications for fossil fuel use in other areas of the system are measured and considered.

The findings highlight the significance of a systems analysis approach and the need to consider all long-term implications. Different fiber grades are required for different products.It is in humanity's best interests to reduce carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere in order to prevent global warming. Maximum energy recovery from waste paper would only have a little impact on Western Europe's carbon dioxide balance (a few percent of total fossil fuel consumption in Western Europe). Increased manufacture of wood-based pulp or the use of waste paper as fuel are two examples of developments that contribute to the substitution of fossil fuels and, as a result, a reduction in anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. Another option is to increase the land area covered by planting trees that absorb carbon dioxide. The amount of paper and paper products in household trash, coupled with the shortage of disposal capacity, is a significant issue in many countries and a driving factor for regulation. These reasons combine to create a compelling case for waste paper collecting, particularly in Western Europe's highly populated nations. The issue of whether the collected paper is recycled as a raw material for paper or for other uses is a complex one.

REFERENCES:

1. S. Byström and L. Lönnstedt, "Waste paper usage and fiber flow in Western Europe," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 1995, doi: 10.1016/0921-3449(95)00027-G.
2. R. Grace, R. K. Turner, and I. Walter, "Secondary materials and international trade," *J. Environ. Econ. Manage.*, 1978, doi: 10.1016/0095-0696(78)90025-6.
3. R. Grace, R. Kerry Turner, and I. Walter, "Secondary materials and international trade: Reply," *J. Environ. Econ. Manage.*, 1979, doi: 10.1016/0095-0696(79)90004-4.
4. A. K. Duraiappah, Z. Xin, and P. J. H. Van Beukering, "Issues in production, recycling and international trade: Analysing the Chinese plastic sector using an optimal life cycle (OLC) model," *Environ. Dev. Econ.*, 2002, doi: 10.1017/s1355770x02000049.
5. A. Terazono *et al.*, "Current status and research on E-waste issues in Asia," *J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag.*, 2006, doi: 10.1007/s10163-005-0147-0.
6. H. Buchner, D. Laner, H. Rechberger, and J. Fellner, "Potential recycling constraints due to future supply and demand of wrought and cast Al scrap—A closed system perspective on Austria," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.01.014.
7. A. Kolk and L. Curran, "Contesting a Place in the Sun: On Ideologies in Foreign Markets

and Liabilities of Origin," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10551-015-2897-5.

8. R. Kahhat and E. Williams, "Materials flow analysis of e-waste: Domestic flows and exports of used computers from the United States," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2012.07.008.

9. W. Hartanto, "Penegakan Hukum Terhadap Kejahatan Narkotika dan Obat-Obat Terlarang dalam Era Perdagangan Bebas Internasional yang Berdampak pada Keamanan dan Kedaulatan Negara," *J. Legis. Indones.*, 2017.

10. A. Terazono, A. Yoshida, J. Yang, Y. Moriguchi, and S. Sakai, "Material cycles in Asia: especially the recycling loop between Japan and China," *J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag.*, 2004, doi: 10.1007/s10163-004-0115-0.