

## CONCEPT OF PLEA BARGAINING

Chandra Shekhar\*

\* Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology,  
Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Email id: chandrashakher792@gmail.com

DOI: **10.5958/2249-7137.2021.02483.6**

---

### ABSTRACT

*Plea bargaining was first adopted in criminal law as a way to avoid congestion in prisons, overloaded courts, and excessive delay. Its strategy has resulted in quicker processing of criminal cases and appeals, as well as easing the suffering of inmates awaiting trial. If a settlement is achieved, the victim may be compensated by the court. The state has a fundamental responsibility to protect people's lives, liberties, and property. PriyadarshiniMattoo, JesicaLall, and NitishKatara, the finest bakery, are evocative of major gaps in criminal justice enforcement systems that have a direct impact on the degree of infractions in our society. Plea bargaining is an agreement or negotiation between the prosecution and the defendant in criminal law. The defendant pled guilty after waiving his right to a fair trial. Plea bargaining's major disadvantage is that it is often utilized against the poor and the innocent. The present study goes into great depth on the benefits and drawbacks of plea bargaining, as well as the measures that need to be taken to enhance the existing legal system. Plea bargaining is an important aspect of criminal justice administration. This article solely serves the interests of the wealthy, making it irrational, since impoverished offenders avoid confessing because they know that even if they confess, they would not get the same benefits as a wealthy partner. The Supreme Court, on the other hand, found that plea bargaining was unconstitutional, unlawful, and often promoted corruption, collusion, and contamination of pure judicial methods in a historic decision.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Crime, Coercive Bargain, Justice, Plea-Bargaining, Unfair Trials.*

---

### REFERENCES

1. Garbatavičiūtė S. Tracing the Instances of Plea Bargaining in the Lithuanian Criminal Justice System. *Teisė*, 2018;1060:130-143. doi: 10.15388/teise.2018.106.11657.
  2. Jain H, Rautela M. Overview of Plea Bargaining in India,. *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3151302.
  3. Karabaev TE. Comparative Analysis Of The Concept Of Plea Bargaining In The Criminal Legislation Of Kyrgyzstan And The United States Of America. *Theor. Appl. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.15863/tas.2018.04.60.27.
  4. Maulana A. Konsep Pengakuan Bersalah Terdakwa Pada 'Jalur Khusus' Menurut Ruu Kuhap dan Perbandingannya Dengan Praktek Plea Bargaining di Beberapa Negara. *J. CITA Huk.*, 2015;3(1). doi: 10.15408/jch.v2i1.1840.
-

5. Olokooba SM, Adebayo MK. Plea Bargaining: A Panacea Towards Prison Decongestion In Nigeria. *Agora Int. J. Juridical Sci.*, 2014;8(4):139-148, doi: 10.15837/aijjs.v8i4.1613.
6. Esoimeme EE. Has the Concept of Plea Bargaining Been Abused in Nigerian Criminal Justice System? *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2014, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2462307.
7. Caldwell HM. Coercive plea bargaining: The unrecognized scourge of the justice system. *Cathol. Univers. Law Rev.*, 2011;61(1):63-96.
8. Surajudeen OM. Democracy, plea bargaining and the politics of anti-corruption campaign in Nigeria (1999-2008). *African J. Polit. Sci. Int. Relations*, 2015;9(9):335-348 doi: 10.5897/ajpsir2014.0759.
9. Danjuma I, Chuan GC. The Extent of Voluntariness in Plea Bargaining for Economic and Financial Crimes in Nigeria. *IJUM Law J.*, 2015;23(2):1-17. doi: 10.31436/iiumlj.v23i3.185.
10. Kshetarpal A. A Deviation from the Former Adversarial Trial: The Concept of Plea Bargaining and its Contemporary Relevance. *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2013, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2329501.