



**ACADEMICIA**  
**An International  
 Multidisciplinary  
 Research Journal**  
 (Double Blind Refereed & Peer Reviewed Journal)



**DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2021.01428.2**

**ABOUT A PROBLEM IN HIGHER LANGUAGE TEACHING AND ITS SOLUTION**

**J. Abdullaev\***

\*Senior Lecturer,  
 Candidate of Philological Sciences,  
 Guliston State University, UZBEKISTAN

**ABSTRACT**

*The article deals with a current problem in the teaching of modern Uzbek literary language in higher education, in particular, philology and language teaching (Uzbek) and linguistics (Uzbek), which has prevailed for a quarter of a century. The existence of different, contradictory, even contradictory interpretations, the negative consequences of which, however, have been criticized for the fact that there have been and are no significant changes in the educational literature. The need to use scientific interpretations of academician A.Khojiev as an acceptable solution to the problem is illustrated by the scientific definition of the morpheme, and it is recommended to teach morphemics in higher linguistics on the basis of this definition, which is an important factor in improving quality and efficiency.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Linguistics, Linguistics, Morpheme, Morph, Smallest Indivisible Semantic Part, Smallest Semantic Linguistic Unit, Descriptive-Descriptive Differences, Constructive Linguistic Unit, Semantic Unit, Functional Unit, Word Formation, Form Formation, Same Classification Basis.*

**REFERENCES**

1. Jamolkhonov H. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2005; Hamroev M. and b. Mother tongue.T., 2007.
2. Nematov H., Rasulov R. Fundamentals of Uzbek language system lexicology. T., 1995; Sayfullaeva R. and b. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2009.
3. Rahmatullayev SH. Contemporary Uzbek Literature.T., 2006.

4. See Gulomov A. Morpheme dictionary of Uzbek language.T., 1978; Modern Uzbek literary language. Part II. T., 1980. 159-p .; TojievYo. Morphemics of the Uzbek language.T., 1992.10-p.
5. See: Nematov H., Rasulov R. "Fundamentals of systemic lexicology of the Uzbek language" T., 1995.37- p .; Nurmonov A. and b. Theoretical grammar of the Uzbek language. T .: The new generation. 2001.46-51-b.
6. Rahmatullayev SH. Source specified. 109- b.
7. Sayfullaeva R. and b. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2009.140-p.
8. Hojiev A. Theoretical issues of morphology, morphemics and word formation of the Uzbek language. T., Science, 2010.40-b.
9. Mahmudov N. The status of the cut in speech // OTA, 2009, pp. 44-56.
10. Hojiev A. Comments on the basic units of language construction // OTA, 2004, No. 3, pp. 30-36; 2005, No. 4, pp. 47-48; Current problems of Uzbek linguistics // OTA, 2006, issue 3, pp. 27-30; 2006, No. 5, pp. 31-36; 2007, No. 5, pp. 27-33; 2008, No. 5, pp. 47-53; 2008, No. 6, pp. 30-39.
11. TojievYo. On the status of speech and parts of speech in the Uzbek language // OTA, 2004, issue 6, pp. 51-54; Comments on some problems in linguistics // OTA, 2004, No. 6, pp. 25-30; More about words, lexemes, word forms, morphemes, morphemes // OTA, 2008, No. 6, pp. 43-50.
12. Mahmudov N. The status of the cut in the sentence // OTA, 2009, pp. 44-56.
13. Sh. Rahmatullaev.