



ACADEMICIA
An International
Multidisciplinary
Research Journal
 (Double Blind Refereed & Peer Reviewed Journal)



DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2021.01409.9

**SYNTACTIC STRUCTURE OF SPEECH AND TEACHING PARTS OF
 SPEECH ABOUT THE NEED TO GO TO A PEAK THEORY**

J. Abdullaev*; Xonazarova N**

*Senior lecturer,
 Candidate of Philological Sciences,
 Guliston State University, UZBEKISTAN

**1st year Master of the Uzbek Linguistics,
 Department of Gulistan State University, UZBEKISTAN

ABSTRACT

The article draws attention to the fact that in the system of continuing education the syntactic structure of speech, the principles of coherence and consistency are violated in the teaching of parts of speech. Thought about the consequences and as a solution to the problem, the need for a decisive transition to a single - point syntax at all stages of the system of continuing education has been put forward, and its advantages have been demonstrated.

KEYWORDS: *Syntactic Structure Of The Sentence, Part Of Speech, "Parts Of Speech" That Are Not Part Of Speech, Subordination, Two-Point Doctrine, Tener's Theory, One-Point Doctrine, Predicative, Cut Category.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Nurmonov, Sh.Shahobiddinova. On the category of parts of speech and its constituents // OTA, 2006, No. 1, p.
2. Tener L. Osnovy strukturnogo syntaksisa - M.: Progress, 1988. - 656 p.
3. See: Bozorov O., Bozorov O. The relationship of traditional and systematic linguistics // OTA, 2009, No. 3, pp. 44-51.
4. Ivanov S. Pedigree tree tyurok Abul Gazi xana. T.: Fan, 1969, 20-p.
5. G a k V. G. Vyskazivanie i situatsiya. - Problems of structural linguistics. M., 1973, p. 35-s.
6. See: Bozorov O., Bozorov O. Specified source.
7. See bibliography.

8. Sayfullaeva R and b. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2010, 316-317- p.
9. Sayfullaeva R and b. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2010, pp. 159-160; 200- b.
10. Nurmonov A. Comments on speech and its parts // OTA, 2009, No.26, p
11. Gulomov A., Askarova M. Modern Uzbek literary language. T., 1987
12. Rasulov I. Contemporary Uzbek language.-Tashkent: Science. 1974. -234 p .; Abuzalova M. On one- and two-syllable sentences // Jumh. traditional scientific-theoretical conference of young philologists. mater.-Toshkent, 1991. –B. 135; Shodmonov E. The syntactic nature of yes. -Against, 1967, -14 p.
13. Bobokalonov R. Functionally-semantically formed words in the Uzbek language.ND.-Tashkent, 2000.-136 p.
14. Gulomov A., Askarova M. Modern Uzbek literary language. Syntax.T., 1987. 76-p.
15. See: Gulomov A., Askarova M. Modern Uzbek literary language. Syntax.T., 1987. 76-87-p.
16. Sayfullaeva R and b. Modern Uzbek literary language.T., 2010; A. Nurmonov, Sh.Shahobiddinova. About the category of parts of speech and its organizers // OTA, 2006, issue 1, p. 50; .Nurmonov A. Comments on speech and its parts // OTA, 2009, No.26, p.
17. Tojiev Yo. On some problems in Uzbek linguistics.//OTA. 2004, No. 2, pp. 35-39; On the issue of the status of speech and parts of speech in the Uzbek language.//OTA.2005.4-issue.53-p.
18. Nurmonov A. Syntactic views of Ayub Gulyamov // OTA. 2005 y. No. 1, p.45.
19. Nurmonov A. Comments on the speech and its parts.//OTA.2009 y. Son-2, 36-b.
20. G. Abdurahmonov. A. Gulamov and some issues of Uzbek linguistics.// A.Gulamov and issues of Uzbek linguistics. (Collection of scientific articles) .T., 2007, 6-p.