

## A REVIEW ON OPEN GOVERNMENT AND DEMOCRACY

**Dal Chandra Gautam\***

\*Assistant Professor,

Department of Law & Legal Studies, Faculty of Commerce, Management & law,

Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Email id: dcgautambahjoi@yahoo.co.in

**DOI: 10.5958/2249-7137.2021.02659.8**

---

### ABSTRACT

*The idea of open government, which has been extensively pushed in recent years, promises a wider vision than e-government, with the goal of radically transforming governments to become more transparent, participatory, and collaborative. Unfortunately, this hasn't made a substantial difference in a number of basic e-government issues. One issue is that the underlying democratic philosophy is seldom articulated properly. As a result, in this article, we've created a framework for analyzing open government from a democratic standpoint, as well as a look at the research basis for open government and the kinds of research that are currently lacking. From 2009 to 2013, we looked at the concept of democracy in peer-reviewed publications on open government, concentrating on discussions of certain basic problems and the types of remedies proposed. We discovered that, despite seeming noble intentions and considerable rhetoric, there seems to be a dearth of appropriate instruments for public debate and representation in any meaningful way. The following are two key points to consider: I the rhetoric in the dominant discourse supports the Obama administration's concept of open government, which is defined as transparency, participation, and collaboration; however, in practice, the focus is primarily on transparency and information exchange, ignoring fundamental democratic issues such as participation and collaboration; and (ii) the rhetoric in the dominant discourse supports the Obama administration's concept of open government, which is defined as transparency, participation, and collaboration.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Democracy, Fundamental, Government, Philosophy, Participation.*

---

### REFERENCES:

1. Fraundorfer, M., "The Open Government Partnership: Mere Smokescreen or New Paradigm?," Globalizations, 2017, doi:10.1080/14747731.2016.1236463.
2. Berry, D.M. and Moss, G., "Free and open-source software: Opening and democratising e-government's black box," Inf. Polity, 2006, doi:10.3233/ip-2006-0084.
3. Ruijter, E., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., and Meijer, A., "Open data for democracy: Developing a theoretical framework for open data use," Gov. Inf. Q., 2017, doi:10.1016/j.giq.2017.01.001.
4. Rao Karna, S. and Gupta, D.K., "Fostering e-government as state social responsibility (Ssr): Case study of an australian city council," EJournal EDemocracy Open Gov., 2012,

doi:10.29379/jedem.v4i2.145.

5. Kassen, M., “Open government in Kazakhstan: A tentative touch of participative democracy?,” E-Government in Kazakhstan, 2016.
6. Henkel, K., “A categorização e a validação das respostas abertas em surveys políticos,” *Opinio Publica*, 2017, doi:10.1590/1807-01912017233786.
7. Freeman, J. and Quirke, S., “Understanding e-democracy government-led initiatives for democratic reform,” *EJournal EDemocracy Open Gov.*, 2013, doi:10.29379/jedem.v5i2.221.
8. Signorelli, M.C., Hillel, S., Oliveira, D.C. De, Ayala Quintanilla, B.P., Hegarty, K., and Taft, A., Voices from low-income and middle-income countries: A systematic review protocol of primary healthcare interventions within public health systems addressing intimate partner violence against women, *BMJ Open*, 2018, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019266.
9. Elledge, M.F., Muralidharan, A., Parker, A., Ravndal, K.T., Siddiqui, M., Toolaram, A.P., and Woodward, K.P., Menstrual hygiene management and waste disposal in low and middle income countries—a review of the literature, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2018, doi:10.3390/ijerph15112562.
10. Haeger, K.O., Lamme, J., and Cleland, K., “State of emergency contraception in the U.S., 2018,” *Contracept. Reprod. Med.*, 2018, doi:10.1186/s40834-018-0067-8.